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The New Era of Title IX Investigations
10 Step Investigative Process §106.45(b)(5)

1. Complainant or Title IX Coordinator files a Formal Complaint alleging sexual harassment.

2. Notice of Allegations sent to Parties. §106.45(b)(2)
   • Assignment of investigator

3. Investigator begins the investigation.

Investigative Process – 4 and 5

4. The parties have an equal opportunity to present witnesses and evidence.
   • The investigator may independently identify and interview witnesses and obtain evidence other than offered by the parties.

5. Investigator requests and conducts interviews with the complainant, respondent, and witnesses.
   • The parties must receive a Notice of Interview. §106.45(b)(5)(v)
6. Investigator requests and obtains non-testimonial (i.e., physical) evidence.

7. The investigator creates the “Investigative File,” which contains the information “directly related to” the allegations raised in the formal complaint. §106.45(b)(5)(vi)

8. “Investigative File” sent to parties and their advisor for review and response.

   • Party written responses are attached to the Investigative File and shared with other party and their advisor.
9. Investigator drafts an “Investigative Report” that fairly summarizes the relevant evidence and sends it to the parties and their advisors for review and response.

- Parties may submit a written response to the Investigative Report, which will be shared with the other party and their advisor and attached to the Investigative Report. §106.45(b)(5)(vii)

10. Investigator returns the case to the Title IX Coordinator for next steps.
QUESTIONS?
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Introduction of the Five Stages of the Investigation and Scenario
1. What does it mean to “investigate?”

2. What are the key attributes of an investigator?

**investigate**
/in'veste.gət/

verb
To carry out a systematic or formal inquiry to discover and examine facts of (an incident, allegation, etc.) so as to establish the truth.
Investigative Process: Evidence

To investigate a formal complaint alleging sexual harassment is to gather the information (evidence) pertaining to the allegations in the formal complaint, including:

- **Inculpatory** information that tends to show the allegations are true, and
- **Exculpatory** information that tends to show the allegations are not true.

Examples of Evidence

Example of inculpatory evidence:

*After the alleged sexual misconduct occurred, the respondent sent a text message to the complainant stating, “I’m sorry, I should have listened when you said no.”*

Example of exculpatory evidence:

*The respondent has mid-length blonde hair. The video from the scene of the alleged misconduct shows that the perpetrator has short dark hair.*
QUESTIONS?

Investigative Process: Five Stages

1. Prepare
2. Gather
3. Compile
4. Assess for relevance
5. Summarize relevant evidence
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Stage 1: Prepare

Review of Scenario
Preparing for the Investigation (Step I)

I. Create Investigator Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recorded By</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/13/21</td>
<td>CBH</td>
<td>Received and reviewed Notice of Allegations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/21</td>
<td>CBH</td>
<td>Scheduled parties' notices of interview and calendar appointments for Microsoft Teams meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17/21</td>
<td>CBH</td>
<td>Received email from Complainant with the selection of and contact information for their advisor. Sent advisor (Copied Complainant) information about the advisor role within the investigative process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td>CBH</td>
<td>Interview with Complainant, advisor present. Reviewed investigative process. Conducted questioning and requested/obtained physical evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/18/21</td>
<td>CBH</td>
<td>Interview with Respondent, no advisor present. Reviewed investigative process, including right to an advisor. Conducted questioning and requested/obtained physical evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/19/21</td>
<td>CBH</td>
<td>Scheduled interview transcript to Respondent for review, edit, and approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/20/21</td>
<td>CBH</td>
<td>Respondent emailed redlined additions and clarifications within interview transcript.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepare (Steps II-IV)

II. Thoroughly review Notice of Allegations/Formal Complaint

III. Who is involved? (Students, faculty, staff?)

IV. What Title IX/policy offense(s) are you investigating?

- Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment
- Hostile Environment Sexual Harassment
- Sexual Assault
  - Rape, sodomy, fondling, incest, statutory rape
- Dating violence
- Domestic violence
- Stalking
V. What are the "elements" of the offense(s) at issue?

Example: Rape

1. The penetration of any sort
2. Of the penis and the vagina (attempt to do the same)
3. Without complainant's consent
4. Including instances where the complainant is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

Under legal age of consent or incapacitation (see policy for definition of incapacitation)
“Elements” for Hostile Environment Sexual Harassment

✓ Unwelcome conduct (based on sex or of a sexual nature)

THAT IS SO...

✓ Severe

AND

✓ Pervasive

AND

✓ Objectively offensive

THAT IT...

✓ Effectively denies a person equal access to the university’s education program or activity

“Elements” for Dating Violence

“Elements” for Dating Violence:

• Violence
  • Sexual abuse
  • Physical abuse
  • Threat of such abuse

• Occurring between people who are in, or were in, a social relationship of a romantic or intimate relationship.
  • The existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors:
    i. The length of the relationship.
    ii. The type of relationship.
    iii. The frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.
Prepare (Step VI)

VI. Evidence available pre-interviews

- Email reporting misconduct (i.e., from Hall Director, RA, Campus Safety)
- Campus video footage
- Campus access-card records
- Police report
- Social Media

Scenario

What evidence may be available pre-interviews?
Prepare (Steps VII-IX)

VII. Interview list

- Complainant
- Respondent
- Witnesses

VIII. What information are you seeking from each person?

IX. Order of interviews

Homework: Scenario

- Preliminary interview list
- What information are you seeking from each person?
- Order of the interviews?
Prepare (Step X)

X. Outline party/witness interviews

Example complainant outline for sexual assault case

Complainant’s interview outline
- Build rapport
- How know the respondent?
- What happened?
  - Where?
  - When?
  - How? Penetration of genitalia?
  - Consent? (Actions/words? Incapacitation? Force?)
  - Response to conduct?
- Witnesses (Eyewitnesses? Who saw before and right after? Who talk to before and right after?)

Based on what you’ve learned thus far, how will refine your preparation strategy?
QUESTIONS?

#4
Stage 2: Gather
Gathering the Evidence

There are two types of evidence within an investigation:

- Testimonial Evidence
- Non-testimonial evidence

Testimonial Evidence

Testimonial Evidence comes in a variety of forms:

- Investigator interviews with:
  - Parties
  - Witnesses
  - Expert Witnesses

- Statements (assertions of fact) made in other medium:
  - Electronic communication (texts, emails, chats)
  - Police reports
  - SANE reports
    - 106.45(b)(1)(x): Need signed waiver to obtain and include in Investigative File
Non-Testimonial Evidence

Types of Non-Testimonial Evidence:

- Documents
- Photographs
- Video (without audio)
- Diagrams within SANE reports
- Boots on the ground!
Interviewing Parties and Witnesses

1. Build rapport
2. Effective questioning
3. Identifying and obtaining physical evidence

Building Rapport

- Identify mutual interests or commonalities
- Conversational with active listening
- Transparency about the process and investigator’s role
- Provide control
- Answer questions
- Acknowledge difficult situation
- Explain that personal questions may be asked
- No judgement and no wrong answers
**Activity**

**Rapport Building Demos**

1. What went well?
2. What could be improved?

**Effective Questioning**

- Initially seeking a narrative
- Closed v. Open-Ended Questions
- The Funnel Method
- Questioning Pitfalls
### Closed v. Open-Ended Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Closed Questions</th>
<th>Open-Ended Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You went to the party with the Complainant and your roommate</td>
<td>Who went with you to the party?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You said “no” when the Respondent kissed you.</td>
<td>How did you respond when the Respondent kissed you?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After you said “no,” you shoved the Respondent to the ground</td>
<td>What happened next?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Open-ended Questions

Open-ended questions allows the interviewee to “fill the space”

- Begin by asking broad questions like...
  
  _Q_: “Tell me about your evening the night of August 22...”

- Start narrowing the questioning based on what you learned
  
  _Q_: “Who went with you to the party?”

  _Q_: “Where was the party?”

  _Q_: “What did you do after you entered the party?”

  _Q_: “Please explain further how....”
The Funnel Method

**THE FUNNEL QUESTIONING TECHNIQUE**

- **Open**: ...... open-ended questions to solicit information
- **Clarifying**: ...... to clarify and narrow focus
- **Probing**: ...... to solicit additional detail
- **Exhaust**: ...... anything else?
- **Closed**: ...... to clarify or commit

**Listen**

- ...... you’re understood

The Importance of Transitions

Using transitions to direct the conversation

**Q:** “I want to take you back to the part where you talked about....”

**Q:** “Let’s focus on your conversation with your roommate after you got home...”

**Q:** “I'd like to know more about...”

**Q:** “Now, I would like to talk with you about what happened after you left the party.”
Questioning Pitfalls

1. Asking open-ended questions in a leading/closed manner.

“Did you go to the police right after you left Respondent’s apartment?”

“Were you scared when your partner’s hands were around your neck?”

2. Asking compound questions

“Describe what you saw, what you heard, and what you did?”

One question at a time: “What did you see?”
“What did you hear?”
“What did you do?”

3. Failing to clarify complex answers.

“Tell me if I understand you correctly, you said…”

“I am not sure that I understand, what does that mean?”

4. Assuming you know what the witness means.

“I talked to Jane last night.”

5. Assumptions about what happened, generally.
6. Failing to use understandable (“normal”) language.

“Are you and Cam intimate with one another?”

“Did your fingers penetrate Cam’s labia majora?”

7. Interrupting the witness.

8. Judgmental spoken or body language in response to answers to questions.
Example #2

Actual Thought vs. Professional Speak

The evidence suggests you are lying vs. Help me understand why 3 other people recall things differently

Example #3

Actual Thought vs. Professional Speak

Do you think I'm an idiot?! vs. In my experience, I generally find ...
Example #4

Actual Thought vs. Professional Speak

Are you kidding me?!

Tell me about your thought process when...

Questioning Demo

1. What went well?
2. What could be improved?
Refreshing Recollection

“I don’t know” v. “I don’t remember”

- “I don’t know” – Person never knew
- “I don’t remember” – Person did know at one time.

• Helping the person remember:
  ▪ Texts
  ▪ Video
  ▪ Photos
  ▪ Going to the scene

Trauma-informed interviewing

• Trauma-informed interviewing techniques are helpful with any party or witness, not just complainants.

• Result is to obtain better information and to have the interviewee leave the interview feeling respected rather than victimized by the interview experience.
**Trauma-informed Interviewing Techniques**

- Build rapport

- Be cognizant of "sensory" responses: sight, sound, smell, etc., which may help identify a trauma response to the alleged misconduct

- Warn before asking personal questions

- Avoid victim-blaming and rape-myths during questioning
  - Both practices can function to re-victimize or cause trauma/blame/shame
  - Explain reasoning behind difficult questions
The Expert Witness

An expert witness is a person who has specialized or scientific knowledge, skill, experience, or proficiency in a particular field that is relevant to the case.

- Expert witnesses are supposed to provide independent, impartial, and an unbiased opinion about evidence in the case.

Questioning an Expert Witness

I. INTRODUCTION

- Name and profession
- Qualifications - What makes this person an “expert?”
  - Education
  - Special Training
  - Experience
  - License/Certification
  - Publications
  - Teaching or speaking experience
  - Experience as an expert witness
    - Ever testified as an expert witness?
    - Ever been disqualified as an expert witness?
Expert Witness Assignment

II. EXPERT’S ASSIGNMENT

• What have you been asked to do/examine/compare in the case?

• Are you receiving compensation for your opinion and testimony? If so, how much and by whom?

• Did you reach an opinion?

• What information did you receive and rely on to make an opinion?

• What techniques, methodology, or process did you use on the information received?

• Is this the type of information relied on by experts in their field?

Expert’s Opinion

III. EXPERT’S OPINION

• What is your opinion?

• How did you arrive at your opinion?

• Did you make any assumptions based on the information? If you change your assumption, does your opinion change?

• Why are you sure of your opinion?

• Are there alternative techniques or methods that could result in a different opinion?
Creating a Timeline

Creating a timeline surrounding the alleged incident:

- Exposes the gaps in the investigation
- Documents the movement of the parties and witnesses
- Helps identify inconsistencies or serves to corroborate
- Validates or refutes alleged alibis
Timeline: How-To

Where to look to build your timeline:

- Timestamps within electronic communications
- Hospital records
- Receipts
- 911 dispatch records/calls
• University access records
How to create and utilize a timeline

TAKEAWAYS

• Building rapport and effective questioning during interviews increases the quality and quantity of the information the interviewee will provide.

• Identifying and obtaining physical evidence helps to fill gaps in testimony, provides a basis for assessing credibility, may corroborate or refute the allegations, and can provide additional insight over what may have occurred during and surrounding the alleged incident.

• Creating a timeline is an essential tool in evidence-gathering.
#5

Autonomy, Neutrality, and Objectivity

§106.45(b)(1)(iii) requires investigators to serve impartially by avoiding:

• Prejudgment of the facts at issue
• Conflicts of interest
• Bias
**Bias**

---

**BIAS**

**AUTONOMY**

**NEUTRALITY**

**OBJECTIVITY**

---

**Bias defined**

Defined as: *An inclination toward (or away from) one way of thinking, many times, based on how you were raised.*

- Examples:
  - Assuming that Complainants or Respondents are generally more likely to tell the truth
  - Assuming the Complainant "had it coming" based on what they were wearing, how much they had to drink, or because they were at a fraternity party
Role of Investigator

- Not on anyone's side
- Employs the presumption of non-responsibility
- Role is to assist the decision-maker(s) in determining responsibility by gathering reliable and relevant evidence for consideration
- Investigators are advocates for the process – not either party
- Credibility is determined by specific factors, not a party's status as a complainant or respondent.

Autonomy

Defined as: *Independence or Freedom*

- Are you able to conduct the investigation without internal or external interference or influence?
  - High profile cases
  - Media influence
Neutrality

Neutrality is defined as: *Not aligned with or supporting a side or position.*

- Understand and uphold the rights of both parties
- Facts are presented as gathered – allow parties and witnesses to review and revise their statements
- Questioning conducted using non-judgmental language:
  - “Do you remember how much you drank before you went to the house party?”
  - Not: "Were you thinking about how much you were drinking before you left your residence hall room?"

Appearance of Neutrality

- Meeting with one party more than the other without explanation
  - Does your policy address this?
- Number of witnesses on either side does not determine outcome
- Perception of neutrality throughout the university
Objectivity

Objectivity is defined as: *Not being influenced by personal feelings, interpretations, or prejudice.*

- How do you act or respond to a party or witness you find obnoxious, conceited, or generally unlikable?
- How do you act or respond to a party or witness you find likable?

Conflicts of Interest

- Exist when the investigator has a personal or professional interest in the matter, and prevent the investigator from discharging their duties in a fair, neutral, and impartial manner
- Arise depending upon the investigator’s personal interests, social factors, inside information, or a relationship to a party, or witness
Mitigation Strategies

• For conflicts of interest: Transparency

• For biases
  ➢ Evaluate/recognize when you are having a biased or stereotypical thought
  ➢ Identify the reasons behind the thought. Where does it come from? Will it impermissibly influence my actions?
  ➢ If possible, overcome and replace the biased/stereotypical thought or action with a non-stereotypical response action

Mitigation Strategies (con't)

• Improve Decision Making
  ➢ Slow down
  ➢ Ask: What assumptions have I made about the gender identity, religious beliefs, athletic status?
  ➢ Ask: What assumptions have I made about the facts?
  ➢ What evidence supports the conclusions I draw and how have I challenged the "unsupported" assumptions

• Practice - and be present.
QUESTIONS?