Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Basic Computer Aided Drafting **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 1309-6A1   **CRN:** 90060   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 15   **ENROLLMENT:** 20   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.93	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.91	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	12	2	1	0	0	4.73	4.82	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	13	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.91	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						99.2%	98.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TECH_ Reports   TECH_PoussonJohnny_ R

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:
 Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Pipe Drafting   **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2323-6A1   **CRN:** 90065   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9   **ENROLLMENT:** 10   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.91	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.91	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.82	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.91	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	8	0	1	0	0	4.78	4.91	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						98.4%	98.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: **Statement 23**
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Civil Drafting

INSTRUCTOR: Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2330-6A1   **CRN:** 90066   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9   **ENROLLMENT:** 10   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.91	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.91	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.82	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.91	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.91	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					97.8%	98.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

TECH_ Reports   TECH_PoussonJohnny_ R

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Final Project: Advanced Drafting

INSTRUCTOR: Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2338-6A1   **CRN:** 90068   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 3   **ENROLLMENT:** 4   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.91	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	98.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Advanced Pipe Drafting   **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2345-6A1   **CRN:** 90069   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8   **ENROLLMENT:** 8   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Fall 2018

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.91	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.89	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.91	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.91	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.82	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.89	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.91	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.91	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.93	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.95	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					97.6%	98.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: DFTG 1309-6A1   **SNS:** 90060   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Basic Computer Aided Drafting

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MR. P DEMONSTRATES A DEEP KNOWLEDGE OF DRAFTING & THE AUT CAD PROGRAM.

NEED MORE HUNTING STORIES

I LOVE THIS CLASS AND MR. P. THE CLASS DOES MOVE A BIT SLOW FOR ME BUT I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE IN HERE BESIDES ME.

MAKE SURE HE DOESN'T INVEST IN ANY WAX FOR HIS HEAD, IF IT GETS ANY MORE SHINY WE MAY ALL GO BLIND.

VERY HELPFUL TEACHER. GIVES EXAMPLES OF HOW TO WORK PROGRAM IN MANY DIFFERENT WAYS WHICH IS HELPFUL.

GREAT INSTRUCTOR

MR. P IS A VERY GOOD INSTRUCTOR, JUST WISH THE CLASS WAS AT A FASTER RATE.

CRN: DFTG 2323-6A1   **SNS:** 90065   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Pipe Drafting

Dept: Technology
Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MR. P TAKES A LOT OF CONCERN FOR HIS STUDENTS & CLASS.

ONE OF THE BEST TEACHERS I'VE HAD, GREAT GUY OUTSIDE OF CLASS AS WELL.

VERY GOOD INSTRUCTOR AND CARES ABOUT HIS STUDENTS. EXPLAINS EVERYTHING TO WHERE YOUR ABLE TO UNDERSTAND. HIS TEACHING SKILLS ARE EXCELLENT AND HE DOES A GREAT JOB AT WHAT HE DOES AND IS VERY PASSIONATE ABOUT IT. GREAT JOB MR. P

TERRIFIC TEACHER. I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS INSTRUCTOR TO ANYONE INTERESTED IN DRAFTING.

CRN: DFTG 2330-6A1   **SNS:** 90066   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Civil Drafting

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MORE HUNTING TALK

:)

A+

CRN: DFTG 2345-6A1   **SNS:** 90069   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Advanced Pipe Drafting   **Dept:** Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

REALLY GOOD PROFESSOR CHALLENGES YOU TO THINK. WANTS YOU TO SUCCEED.

I WISH THERE WERE MORE ADVANCED PIPE CLASSES AVAILABLE, LIKE PLANT 3D OR CADWORX.

HE SHOULD BRING HIS DOG MORE, AND A HAT MORE.

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages
All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor =   **Pousson, Johnny**

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	DFTG 1309-6A1	Paper	20	15	4.99	4.97	4.90	5.00	98.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	DFTG 2323-6A1	Paper	10	9	4.96	4.89	4.86	4.93	100.0%	97.8%	95.7%	97.8%	100.0%
TECH	DFTG 2330-6A1	Paper	10	9	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	97.8%	97.8%	97.8%	97.8%	97.8%
TECH	DFTG 2338-6A1	Paper	4	3	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	DFTG 2345-6A1	Paper	8	8	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	97.6%	97.6%	97.6%	97.6%	97.6%
Average All Course Sections for This Instructor					**4.94**	**4.92**	**4.89**	**4.94**	**98.6%**	**98.6%**	**98.2%**	**98.6%**	**99.0%**

Color Code =

Less than 3.0	3.0 to 4.0	More than 4.0
Less than 60%	60% to 80%	More than 80%