

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: College Success Skills

INSTRUCTOR: Noyola, Thomas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DORI 0200-3A1

CRN: 90349

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 16

ENROLLMENT: 25

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	13	2	1	0	0	4.75	4.67	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	12	3	1	0	0	4.69	4.57	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	11	4	0	0	1	4.50	4.56	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	12	3	0	0	1	4.56	4.61	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	13	1	2	0	0	4.69	4.56	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	15	0	1	0	0	4.88	4.67	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	11	4	1	0	0	4.63	4.56	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.72	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.74	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.72	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	14	2	0	0	0	4.88	4.67	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.63	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.65	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	11	4	1	0	0	4.63	4.61	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.69	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	13	3	0	0	0	4.81	4.70	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.70	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	15	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.76	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	12	4	0	0	0	4.75	4.69	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	16	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						96.6%	92.8%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Practicum-Crimin Just/Safe Stu

INSTRUCTOR: Noyola, Thomas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CJSA 2264-7A1

CRN: 90571

DEPARTMENT: Public Service and Safety

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 1

ENROLLMENT: 1

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.74	4.14	4.58	4.42
2	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.14	4.59	4.47
3	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.70	4.77	4.07	4.52	4.33
4	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.74	4.80	4.18	4.41	4.19
5	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.11	4.57	4.42
6	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.14	4.55	4.35
7	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.66	4.73	4.04	4.60	4.44
8	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.72	4.71	4.78	4.11	4.72	4.48
9	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.74	4.67	4.72	4.19	4.65	4.43
10	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.72	4.72	4.79	4.07	4.69	4.44
11	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.62	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.37
12	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.72	4.07	4.62	4.42
13	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.63	4.70	4.07	4.63	4.40
14	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.76	4.04	4.65	4.44
15	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.65	4.72	4.04	4.60	4.37
16	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.65	4.70	4.76	4.11	4.64	4.45
17	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.67	4.74	4.11	4.61	4.41
18	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.69	4.70	4.77	4.11	4.67	4.39
19	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.73	4.79	4.14	4.61	4.43
20	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.64	4.70	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.63	4.67	4.25	4.58	4.41
22	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.69	4.65	4.70	4.21	4.58	4.36
23	1	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.71	4.74	4.46	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	92.8%	93.2%	94.6%	83%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Practicum-Crimin Just/Safe Stu

INSTRUCTOR: Noyola, Thomas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CJSA 2265-7A1

CRN: 90572

DEPARTMENT: Public Service and Safety

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 2

ENROLLMENT: 3

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.74	4.14	4.58	4.42
2	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.14	4.59	4.47
3	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.70	4.77	4.07	4.52	4.33
4	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.74	4.80	4.18	4.41	4.19
5	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.11	4.57	4.42
6	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.14	4.55	4.35
7	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.66	4.73	4.04	4.60	4.44
8	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.72	4.71	4.78	4.11	4.72	4.48
9	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.74	4.67	4.72	4.19	4.65	4.43
10	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.72	4.72	4.79	4.07	4.69	4.44
11	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.62	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.37
12	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.72	4.07	4.62	4.42
13	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.63	4.70	4.07	4.63	4.40
14	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.76	4.04	4.65	4.44
15	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.65	4.72	4.04	4.60	4.37
16	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.65	4.70	4.76	4.11	4.64	4.45
17	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.67	4.74	4.11	4.61	4.41
18	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.69	4.70	4.77	4.11	4.67	4.39
19	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.73	4.79	4.14	4.61	4.43
20	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.64	4.70	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.63	4.67	4.25	4.58	4.41
22	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.69	4.65	4.70	4.21	4.58	4.36
23	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.71	4.74	4.46	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	92.8%	93.2%	94.6%	83%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: First Line Police Supervision

INSTRUCTOR: Noyola, Thomas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CJSA 2335-3A1

CRN: 90575

DEPARTMENT: Public Service and Safety

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 3

ENROLLMENT: 3

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.74	4.14	4.58	4.42
2	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.14	4.59	4.47
3	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.70	4.77	4.07	4.52	4.33
4	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.74	4.80	4.18	4.41	4.19
5	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.11	4.57	4.42
6	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.14	4.55	4.35
7	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.66	4.73	4.04	4.60	4.44
8	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.72	4.71	4.78	4.11	4.72	4.48
9	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.74	4.67	4.72	4.19	4.65	4.43
10	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.72	4.72	4.79	4.07	4.69	4.44
11	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.67	4.62	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.37
12	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.72	4.07	4.62	4.42
13	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.63	4.70	4.07	4.63	4.40
14	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.68	4.76	4.04	4.65	4.44
15	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.65	4.72	4.04	4.60	4.37
16	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.65	4.70	4.76	4.11	4.64	4.45
17	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.67	4.74	4.11	4.61	4.41
18	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.69	4.70	4.77	4.11	4.67	4.39
19	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.73	4.79	4.14	4.61	4.43
20	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.64	4.70	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.63	4.67	4.25	4.58	4.41
22	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.69	4.65	4.70	4.21	4.58	4.36
23	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.71	4.74	4.46	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	92.8%	93.2%	94.6%	83%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Criminal Justice

INSTRUCTOR: Noyola, Thomas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CRIJ 1301-3A1

CRN: 90577

DEPARTMENT: Public Service and Safety

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 22

ENROLLMENT: 30

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	18	4	0	0	0	4.82	4.67	4.68	4.74	4.14	4.58	4.42
2	16	3	2	0	0	4.67	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.14	4.59	4.47
3	18	4	0	0	0	4.82	4.56	4.70	4.77	4.07	4.52	4.33
4	19	3	0	0	0	4.86	4.61	4.74	4.80	4.18	4.41	4.19
5	14	8	0	0	0	4.64	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.11	4.57	4.42
6	17	5	0	0	0	4.77	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.14	4.55	4.35
7	16	6	0	0	0	4.73	4.56	4.66	4.73	4.04	4.60	4.44
8	19	2	1	0	0	4.82	4.72	4.71	4.78	4.11	4.72	4.48
9	19	3	0	0	0	4.86	4.74	4.67	4.72	4.19	4.65	4.43
10	19	3	0	0	0	4.86	4.72	4.72	4.79	4.07	4.69	4.44
11	17	5	0	0	0	4.77	4.67	4.62	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.37
12	14	8	0	0	0	4.64	4.63	4.65	4.72	4.07	4.62	4.42
13	16	5	1	0	0	4.68	4.63	4.63	4.70	4.07	4.63	4.40
14	18	4	0	0	0	4.82	4.70	4.68	4.76	4.04	4.65	4.44
15	18	4	0	0	0	4.82	4.70	4.65	4.72	4.04	4.60	4.37
16	17	3	2	0	0	4.68	4.65	4.70	4.76	4.11	4.64	4.45
17	18	4	0	0	0	4.82	4.61	4.67	4.74	4.11	4.61	4.41
18	18	4	0	0	0	4.82	4.69	4.70	4.77	4.11	4.67	4.39
19	19	3	0	0	0	4.86	4.70	4.73	4.79	4.14	4.61	4.43
20	18	4	0	0	0	4.82	4.70	4.64	4.70	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	18	3	1	0	0	4.77	4.76	4.63	4.67	4.25	4.58	4.41
22	18	3	1	0	0	4.77	4.69	4.65	4.70	4.21	4.58	4.36
23	16	3	2	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.71	4.74	4.46	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						95.4%	92.8%	93.2%	94.6%	83%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Criminal Investigation

INSTRUCTOR: Noyola, Thomas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CRIJ 2314-2A1

CRN: 90589

DEPARTMENT: Public Service and Safety

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5

ENROLLMENT: 19

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	0	1	0	1	3.80	4.67	4.68	4.74	4.14	4.58	4.42
2	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.14	4.59	4.47
3	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.56	4.70	4.77	4.07	4.52	4.33
4	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.61	4.74	4.80	4.18	4.41	4.19
5	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.11	4.57	4.42
6	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.14	4.55	4.35
7	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.56	4.66	4.73	4.04	4.60	4.44
8	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.72	4.71	4.78	4.11	4.72	4.48
9	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.74	4.67	4.72	4.19	4.65	4.43
10	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.72	4.72	4.79	4.07	4.69	4.44
11	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.67	4.62	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.37
12	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.63	4.65	4.72	4.07	4.62	4.42
13	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.63	4.63	4.70	4.07	4.63	4.40
14	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.70	4.68	4.76	4.04	4.65	4.44
15	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.70	4.65	4.72	4.04	4.60	4.37
16	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.65	4.70	4.76	4.11	4.64	4.45
17	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.61	4.67	4.74	4.11	4.61	4.41
18	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.69	4.70	4.77	4.11	4.67	4.39
19	2	2	0	0	1	3.80	4.70	4.73	4.79	4.14	4.61	4.43
20	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.70	4.64	4.70	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.76	4.63	4.67	4.25	4.58	4.41
22	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.69	4.65	4.70	4.21	4.58	4.36
23	3	1	0	0	1	4.00	4.77	4.71	4.74	4.46	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						70.8%	92.8%	93.2%	94.6%	83%	92%	88%
24	1	1	2	0	1	3.20	4.10	4.10	0.00	4.10	0.00	4.30
25	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.30	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.00	4.33
26	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.30	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.36
27	2	1	1	0	1	3.60	4.30	4.17	0.00	4.17	0.00	4.43
28	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.20	4.24	0.00	4.24	0.00	4.40
29	1	2	1	0	1	3.40	4.20	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Police Systems & Practices

INSTRUCTOR: Noyola, Thomas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: CRIJ 2328-2A1

CRN: 90590

DEPARTMENT: Public Service and Safety

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5

ENROLLMENT: 9

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.67	4.68	4.74	4.14	4.58	4.42
2	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.57	4.63	4.68	4.14	4.59	4.47
3	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.56	4.70	4.77	4.07	4.52	4.33
4	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.61	4.74	4.80	4.18	4.41	4.19
5	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.11	4.57	4.42
6	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.14	4.55	4.35
7	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.56	4.66	4.73	4.04	4.60	4.44
8	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.72	4.71	4.78	4.11	4.72	4.48
9	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.74	4.67	4.72	4.19	4.65	4.43
10	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.72	4.72	4.79	4.07	4.69	4.44
11	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.67	4.62	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.37
12	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.63	4.65	4.72	4.07	4.62	4.42
13	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.63	4.63	4.70	4.07	4.63	4.40
14	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.70	4.68	4.76	4.04	4.65	4.44
15	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.70	4.65	4.72	4.04	4.60	4.37
16	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.65	4.70	4.76	4.11	4.64	4.45
17	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.61	4.67	4.74	4.11	4.61	4.41
18	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.69	4.70	4.77	4.11	4.67	4.39
19	4	0	0	0	1	4.20	4.70	4.73	4.79	4.14	4.61	4.43
20	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.64	4.70	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.63	4.67	4.25	4.58	4.41
22	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.69	4.65	4.70	4.21	4.58	4.36
23	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.71	4.74	4.46	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						89.6%	92.8%	93.2%	94.6%	83%	92%	88%
24	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.10	4.10	0.00	4.10	0.00	4.30
25	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.30	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.00	4.33
26	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.30	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.36
27	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.30	4.17	0.00	4.17	0.00	4.43
28	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.20	4.24	0.00	4.24	0.00	4.40
29	5	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.20	4.28	0.00	4.28	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: DORI 0200-3A1**SNS:** 90349**Instructor:** Noyola, Thomas**Course Title:** College Success Skills**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

DR NOYOLA IS A GREAT INSTRUCTOR, HOWEVER, I BELIEVE DORI IS UNNECESSARY.

VERY GOOD INSTRUCTOR ALWAYS MOTIVATED US TO DO OUR BEST.

GREATEST TEACHER EVER!!

I ENJOY MR NOYOLA THOMAS CLASS. HE TAKES HIS TIME AND HE'S ALSO FUN. HE ALWAYS GREETES US WITH A SMILE. THANKS FOR BEING MY 7 A.M. CLASS

YOU'RE A GREAT PROFESSOR!

CRN: CJSA 2264-7A1**SNS:** 90571**Instructor:** Noyola, Thomas**Course Title:** Practicum-Crimin Just/Safe Stu**Dept:** Public Service and Safety**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

WONDERFUL INSTRUCTOR AND VERY SUPPORTIVE, ENCOURAGES YOU TO DO YOUR ABSOLUTE BEST, TO NEVER GIVE UP AND ALWAYS BELIEVE IN YOURSELF.

CRN: CJSA 2265-7A1**SNS:** 90572**Instructor:** Noyola, Thomas**Course Title:** Practicum-Crimin Just/Safe Stu**Dept:** Public Service and Safety**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

AWESOME INSTRUCTOR. CAN TELL HE ENJOYS WHAT HE TEACHES.

CRN: CJSA 2335-3A1**SNS:** 90575**Instructor:** Noyola, Thomas**Course Title:** First Line Police Supervision**Dept:** Public Service and Safety**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

.....
HE'S A VERY WELL-PREPARED INSTRUCTOR
.....

NOYOLA IS THE GREATEST OF ALL TIME

CRN: CRIJ 1301-3A1**SNS:** 90577**Instructor:** Noyola, Thomas**Course Title:** Introduction to Criminal Justice**Dept:** Public Service and Safety**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

PLEASURE TO LEARN FROM HIM.

DR NOYOLA MAKES CLASS FUN AND INTERESTING.

I WOULD LIKE MR NOYOLA TO TEACH MORE CLASSES.

HE'S COOL GUY

DR NOYOLA IS AWESOME <3 :)

DR NOYOLA IS THE HOMIE AND BEST

CRN: CRIJ 2314-2A1**SNS:** 90589**Instructor:** Noyola, Thomas**Course Title:** Criminal Investigation**Dept:** Public Service and Safety**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

VERY GOOD PROFESSOR

CRN: CRIJ 2328-2A1**SNS:** 90590**Instructor:** Noyola, Thomas**Course Title:** Police Systems & Practices**Dept:** Public Service and Safety**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

WONDERFUL TEACHER AND VERY HELPFUL

VERY GOOD PROFESSOR

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Noyola, Thomas

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	DORI 0200-3A1	Paper	25	16	4.79	4.84	4.92	4.92	93.9%	98.9%	98.9%	96.2%	100.0%
PBSS	CJSA 2264-7A1	Paper	1	1	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
PBSS	CJSA 2265-7A1	Paper	3	2	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
PBSS	CJSA 2335-3A1	Paper	3	3	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
PBSS	CRIJ 1301-3A1	Paper	30	22	4.76	4.75	4.77	4.76	92.8%	93.6%	96.4%	97.2%	93.4%
PBSS	CRIJ 2314-2A1	URL/Email	19	5	3.53	3.57	3.44	3.55	72.0%	72.0%	68.0%	76.0%	80.0%
PBSS	CRIJ 2328-2A1	URL/Email	9	5	4.47	4.33	4.52	4.60	84.1%	84.1%	84.1%	84.1%	100.0%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.63	4.63	4.66	4.67	91.2%	93.0%	93.9%	94.0%	95.4%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------