

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Electrical Drafting

INSTRUCTOR: Spooner, Stanley

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2307-6A1

CRN: 90062

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6

ENROLLMENT: 12

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.57	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.62	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	3	1	2	0	0	4.17	4.46	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.43	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.68	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.62	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.70	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.59	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	3	2	1	0	0	4.33	4.57	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	4	1	1	0	0	4.50	4.58	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	4	1	1	0	0	4.50	4.46	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.59	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.62	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	3	2	1	0	0	4.33	4.43	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	4	2	0	0	0	4.67	4.68	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	4	1	1	0	0	4.50	4.46	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	3	3	0	0	0	4.50	4.57	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						91.4%	91.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Instrumentation Drafting

INSTRUCTOR: Spooner, Stanley

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2308-6A1

CRN: 90063

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 14

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.57	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.62	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.46	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.43	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.68	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.65	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.62	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	4.70	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.57	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.58	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.46	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	4.62	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.43	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.68	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.59	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	4.46	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	4	4	0	0	0	4.50	4.57	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						90.2%	91.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Instrumentation I

INSTRUCTOR: Spooner, Stanley

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1332-3A1

CRN: 90159

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 21

ENROLLMENT: 30

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	13	7	1	0	0	4.57	4.57	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	14	6	1	0	0	4.62	4.62	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	12	7	2	0	0	4.48	4.46	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	11	6	4	0	0	4.33	4.43	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.68	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	13	7	1	0	0	4.57	4.62	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.70	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	13	7	1	0	0	4.57	4.59	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	14	6	1	0	0	4.62	4.57	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	13	6	1	0	0	4.60	4.58	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	13	5	2	1	0	4.43	4.46	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	14	5	2	0	0	4.57	4.59	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	15	4	2	0	0	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	13	5	2	1	0	4.43	4.43	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	16	4	1	0	0	4.71	4.68	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	15	5	1	0	0	4.67	4.59	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	14	6	1	0	0	4.62	4.59	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	13	4	3	0	1	4.33	4.46	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	13	7	1	0	0	4.57	4.57	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						91.6%	91.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Basic Computer Aided Drafting

INSTRUCTOR: Spooner, Stanley

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 1309-6B1

CRN: 90678

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 2

ENROLLMENT: 2

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.57	4.61	4.62	4.48	4.58	4.42
2	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.62	4.59	4.59	4.48	4.59	4.47
3	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.46	4.59	4.59	4.36	4.52	4.33
4	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.43	4.55	4.56	4.21	4.41	4.19
5	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.68	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.42
6	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.65	4.60	4.61	4.36	4.55	4.35
7	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.62	4.61	4.61	4.52	4.60	4.44
8	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.70	4.73	4.73	4.48	4.72	4.48
9	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.58	4.65	4.43
10	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.48	4.69	4.44
11	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.56	4.56	4.36	4.56	4.37
12	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.57	4.63	4.64	4.42	4.62	4.42
13	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.58	4.65	4.65	4.33	4.63	4.40
14	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.46	4.65	4.66	4.45	4.65	4.44
15	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.37
16	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.62	4.62	4.63	4.33	4.64	4.45
17	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.43	4.63	4.64	4.36	4.61	4.41
18	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.65	4.67	4.68	4.42	4.67	4.39
19	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.68	4.62	4.62	4.27	4.61	4.43
20	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.55	4.55	4.30	4.53	4.30
21	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.64	4.64	4.33	4.58	4.41
22	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.46	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.58	4.36
23	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.57	4.60	4.60	4.36	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	91.6%	92.4%	92.4%	87.8%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: DFTG 1309-6B1**SNS:** 90678**Instructor:** Spooner, Stanley**Course Title:** Basic Computer Aided Drafting**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

I REALLY LIKE MR. SPOONER. HE EXPLAINS THINGS WELL & TEACHES ME BETTER AND EASIER WAYS TO DO THINGS.

THERE SHOULD BE MORE NIGHT CLASSES FOR DRAFTING.

CRN: DFTG 2307-6A1**SNS:** 90062**Instructor:** Spooner, Stanley**Course Title:** Electrical Drafting**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

I FEEL LIKE THERE IS SOME IMPORTANT THINGS WE DIDN'T GO OVER IN THIS COURSE AND THE GRADING IS TERRIBLE.

SHOW STUDENTS WHICH LAYERS TO USE EFFICIENTLY BASED ON THE DRAWING

CRN: DFTG 2308-6A1**SNS:** 90063**Instructor:** Spooner, Stanley**Course Title:** Instrumentation Drafting**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

HE SHOULD NARRATE LIKE MORGAN FREEMAN.

CRN: PTAC 1332-3A1

SNS: 90159

Instructor: Spooner, Stanley

Course Title: Process Instrumentation I

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT TEACHER, UNDERSTANDING OF HIS STUDENTS SCHEDULES OUTSIDE CLASS.

GREAT TEACHER!! HE DESERVES A RAISE! :)

MY BOY NEEDS A RAISE!!! SPOONER = GOAT

GOOD

GREAT INSTRUCTOR, WILL TAKE OFTEN IF AVAILABLE.

DID NOT LEARN HOW TO USE SIMTRONICS IN ANY CLASS. ALWAYS TOLD TO DO IT LIKE I KNOW HOW TOO. I AM TWO SEMESTERS OUT FROM GRADUATING.

GREAT TEACHER, ONLY SMALL MINOR ISSUE NEED TO BE FIXED.

DON'T BE SO NICE. MAKE US TALK AND INTERACT WITH YOU. GO INTO MORE DETAILS. MAKE THEM ACTUAL DO SOME WORK.

VERY INFORMATIVE AND KNOWLEDGEABLE. I LEARNED A LOT.

AWESOME PROFESSOR :)

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Spooner, Stanley

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	DFTG 2307-6A1	Paper	12	6	4.60	4.70	4.54	4.50	96.6%	90.0%	93.4%	93.4%	90.0%
TECH	DFTG 2308-6A1	Paper	14	8	4.50	4.53	4.50	4.50	90.0%	92.6%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%
TECH	PTAC 1332-3A1	Paper	30	21	4.62	4.64	4.48	4.65	93.4%	92.4%	93.4%	94.2%	91.4%
TECH	DFTG 1309-6B1	Paper	2	2	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.61	4.64	4.52	4.61	93.6%	92.4%	93.0%	93.6%	91.4%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------