

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Composition II

INSTRUCTOR: Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ENGL 1302-2A1

CRN: 90465

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 23

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	2	3	0	0	4.00	4.17	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	3	4	1	0	0	4.25	4.26	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	3	3	2	0	0	4.13	4.17	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	3	2	3	0	0	4.00	4.09	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	4	1	3	0	0	4.13	4.11	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	5	1	2	0	0	4.38	4.34	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.41	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.26	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.41	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	4.21	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.29	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.33	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.15	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.31	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	4	2	1	1	0	4.13	4.09	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	2	3	2	1	0	3.75	4.08	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	3	3	1	1	0	4.00	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.17	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	4	2	1	1	0	4.13	4.20	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	3	3	1	1	0	4.00	4.18	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						84%	84%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.00	4.16	0.00	4.16	0.00	4.30
25	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	4.00	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.33
26	4	3	1	0	0	4.38	3.93	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.36
27	3	4	1	0	0	4.25	4.03	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.43
28	3	3	2	0	0	4.13	3.87	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.40
29	4	2	2	0	0	4.25	3.90	4.37	0.00	4.37	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Fine Arts Appreciation

INSTRUCTOR: Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: HUMA 1315-2D1

CRN: 90473

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8

ENROLLMENT: 22

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	1	3	2	1	1	3.25	4.17	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	1	3	3	1	0	3.50	4.26	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	2	3	1	1	1	3.50	4.17	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	1	3	2	1	1	3.25	4.09	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	1	3	3	1	0	3.50	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	1	1	3	0	3	2.63	4.11	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	3	2	3	0	0	4.00	4.34	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	2	2	4	0	0	3.75	4.41	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	1	1	4	0	2	2.88	4.26	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	2	2	4	0	0	3.75	4.41	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	1	1	3	1	2	2.75	4.21	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	2	3	2	1	0	3.75	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	1	3	3	0	1	3.38	4.29	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	1	3	3	1	0	3.50	4.33	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	2	1	4	0	1	3.38	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	1	2	3	2	0	3.25	4.15	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	1	3	4	0	0	3.63	4.31	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	1	3	2	1	1	3.25	4.09	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	1	4	2	0	1	3.50	4.08	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	1	1	4	0	2	2.88	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	1	3	2	2	0	3.38	4.17	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	1	1	5	0	1	3.13	4.20	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	1	2	2	2	1	3.00	4.18	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						66.8%	84%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	1	3	3	1	0	3.50	4.00	4.16	0.00	4.16	0.00	4.30
25	1	3	3	0	1	3.38	4.00	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.33
26	1	2	2	2	1	3.00	3.93	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.36
27	1	2	5	0	0	3.50	4.03	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.43
28	1	2	4	0	1	3.25	3.87	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.40
29	1	3	3	0	1	3.38	3.90	4.37	0.00	4.37	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Art Appreciation

INSTRUCTOR: Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ARTS 1301-2A1

CRN: 90477

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 10

ENROLLMENT: 23

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	4	3	0	0	4.00	4.17	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	3	4	3	0	0	4.00	4.26	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	2	4	4	0	0	3.80	4.17	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	2	4	4	0	0	3.80	4.09	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	3	4	3	0	0	4.00	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	3	3	4	0	0	3.90	4.11	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	4	3	3	0	0	4.10	4.34	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	4.41	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	4.26	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	4	4	2	0	0	4.20	4.41	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	4.21	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	3	5	1	0	1	3.90	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	2	5	2	1	0	3.80	4.29	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	4	4	1	1	0	4.10	4.33	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	3	5	1	0	1	3.90	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	2	6	1	1	0	3.90	4.15	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	4	4	2	0	0	4.20	4.31	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	3	5	1	1	0	4.00	4.09	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	3	4	2	1	0	3.90	4.08	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	2	5	3	0	0	3.90	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	4.17	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	2	6	1	1	0	3.90	4.20	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	3	5	1	1	0	4.00	4.18	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						80.2%	84%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	4.00	4.16	0.00	4.16	0.00	4.30
25	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	4.00	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.33
26	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	3.93	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.36
27	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	4.03	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.43
28	3	5	2	0	0	4.10	3.87	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.40
29	3	5	1	1	0	4.00	3.90	4.37	0.00	4.37	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Art Appreciation

INSTRUCTOR: Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ARTS 1301-3A1

CRN: 90481

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 22

ENROLLMENT: 26

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	12	5	4	1	0	4.27	4.17	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	13	4	5	0	0	4.36	4.26	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	14	3	4	0	1	4.32	4.17	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	13	4	3	1	1	4.23	4.09	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	13	5	4	0	0	4.41	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	14	5	2	0	1	4.41	4.11	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	12	6	3	1	0	4.32	4.34	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	16	2	4	0	0	4.55	4.41	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	14	4	4	0	0	4.45	4.26	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	15	3	3	1	0	4.45	4.41	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	13	5	3	1	0	4.36	4.21	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	13	4	4	1	0	4.32	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	15	3	4	0	0	4.50	4.29	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	16	2	2	2	0	4.45	4.33	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	17	2	2	0	1	4.55	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	13	4	4	1	0	4.32	4.15	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	14	5	2	1	0	4.45	4.31	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	11	3	4	4	0	3.95	4.09	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	11	6	2	1	2	4.05	4.08	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	11	5	5	0	1	4.14	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	12	3	5	2	0	4.14	4.17	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	15	3	2	2	0	4.41	4.20	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	11	5	3	2	0	4.19	4.18	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						86.6%	84%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Composition II

INSTRUCTOR: Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ENGL 1302-3A1

CRN: 90540

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 12

ENROLLMENT: 20

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	9	2	1	0	0	4.67	4.17	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.26	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.17	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	9	2	1	0	0	4.67	4.09	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	9	1	2	0	0	4.58	4.11	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	10	1	1	0	0	4.75	4.34	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	10	1	1	0	0	4.75	4.41	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.26	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.41	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	9	2	1	0	0	4.67	4.21	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	10	1	1	0	0	4.75	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.29	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	9	3	0	0	0	4.75	4.33	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	7	3	2	0	0	4.42	4.15	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.31	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	9	2	1	0	0	4.67	4.09	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	8	2	2	0	0	4.50	4.08	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	8	1	3	0	0	4.42	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	8	4	0	0	0	4.67	4.17	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.20	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	9	2	1	0	0	4.67	4.18	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.4%	84%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Art Appreciation

INSTRUCTOR: Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ARTS 1301-3C1

CRN: 90547

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 15

ENROLLMENT: 19

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	6	7	2	0	0	4.27	4.17	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	5	8	2	0	0	4.20	4.26	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	4	8	3	0	0	4.07	4.17	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	5	6	4	0	0	4.07	4.09	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	7	6	2	0	0	4.33	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	4	9	2	0	0	4.13	4.11	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	7	6	2	0	0	4.33	4.34	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	9	5	1	0	0	4.53	4.41	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	8	6	1	0	0	4.47	4.26	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	8	6	1	0	0	4.47	4.41	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	8	4	3	0	0	4.33	4.21	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	6	7	2	0	0	4.27	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	7	5	3	0	0	4.27	4.29	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	7	6	2	0	0	4.33	4.33	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	9	4	2	0	0	4.47	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	5	8	2	0	0	4.20	4.15	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	5	6	4	0	0	4.07	4.31	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	5	9	1	0	0	4.27	4.09	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	6	7	2	0	0	4.27	4.08	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	5	8	2	0	0	4.20	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	5	8	2	0	0	4.20	4.17	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	6	6	3	0	0	4.20	4.20	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	10	3	2	0	0	4.53	4.18	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						85.6%	84%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Art Appreciation

INSTRUCTOR: Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ARTS 1301-2A4

CRN: 90700

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 5

ENROLLMENT: 16

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Fall 2018

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.17	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.26	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	2	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.17	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	2	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.09	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.11	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.34	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.41	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.26	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.41	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.21	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	3	2	0	0	0	4.60	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.29	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	4	0	1	0	0	4.60	4.33	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	2	3	0	0	0	4.40	4.15	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.31	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.09	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.08	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	2	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.17	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	3	0	2	0	0	4.20	4.20	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.18	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						86.8%	84%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	2	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.00	4.16	0.00	4.16	0.00	4.30
25	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.00	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.33
26	3	0	1	0	0	4.50	3.93	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.36
27	3	1	1	0	0	4.40	4.03	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.43
28	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	3.87	4.27	0.00	4.27	0.00	4.40
29	2	1	2	0	0	4.00	3.90	4.37	0.00	4.37	0.00	4.47

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: ARTS 1301-2A1**SNS:** 90477**Instructor:** Sizemore, William**Course Title:** Art Appreciation**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

COULD STAND TO LEARN SOME PEOPLE SKILLS. NOT EVERYONE IN THE CLASS IS A CHILD, OR FRESH OUT OF HIGH SCHOOL!! AND HE SHOULD TREAT PEOPLE AS SUCH. I HAVE EMAILED THIS INSTRUCTOR FROM MY SCHOOL ACCOUNT AND IT TAKES WAY LONGER THAN TO GET A RESPONSE THAN HIS SYLLABUS STATES. THE TIME TO COMPLETE THE ASSIGNMENTS IS NO WHERE NEAR REASONABLE. HE NEEDS TO KEEP IN MIND THAT BECAUSE WE ARE ONLINE STUDENTS THAT MORE THAN LIKELY WE HAVE FULL TIME JOBS. AND SOME OF US ARE EVEN PARENTS. AND ITS NOT ENOUGH TIME TO COMPLETE THE WORK IN AN TIMELY MANNER. HE COULD BE A BETTER INSTRUCTOR IF HE LEARNS THAT PEOPLE ARE NOT ROBOTS! HE COULD LEARN FROM MY PAST ONLINE INSTRUCTORS!!

ENJOYING THIS ONLINE COURSE AND THE INSTRUCTOR IS VERY HELPFUL IN THE CONCERNS OF THE STUDENTS. GREAT AND KNOWLEDGEABLE OF THE COURSE. MAKES US INTERACT WITH FELLOW CLASSMATES THROUGH DISCUSSION BOARDS.

CRN: ARTS 1301-2A4**SNS:** 90700**Instructor:** Sizemore, William**Course Title:** Art Appreciation**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

GREAT COURSE.

CRN: ARTS 1301-3A1**SNS:** 90481**Instructor:** Sizemore, William**Course Title:** Art Appreciation**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

I FEEL THAT MR SIZEMORE IS A VERY PASSIONATE ARTIST. HE SHOWS APPRECIATION FOR THE SUBJECT. HOWEVER, I FEEL THAT THIS SEMESTER DID NOT GO AS I PLANNED. I THINK THAT THIS IS AN ART CLASS AND AS AN ARTIST MYSELF WAS EXCITED FOR THIS COURSE. INSTEAD OF ART PROJECTS WE WROTE PAPERS! MY PERSONAL OPINION THIS WAS MORE OF AN ENGLISH RELATED ART COURSE.

PROFESSOR MUST REALIZE THIS ART NOT ENGLISH! PROFESSOR SHOULD SCHEDULE TO HAVE MORE ART RELATED ACTIVITIES FOR THE STUDENTS TO PARTICIPATE IN. STUDENTS SHOULD HAVE MORE LESSONS ON HOW TO DRAW, PAINT, & SCULPT. PROFESSOR SHOULD REALIZE THAT EXCESSIVE PAPERS OVER VIDEOS DO NOT TEACH STUDENTS ABOUT ART, RATHER EXPOSURE AND EXPERIENCE DO.

FINAL ASSIGNMENTS ARE TOO BIG, CUTTING THEM IN HALF WOULD HELP A BUNCH.

DOES NOT EXPLAIN VERY WELL SOME ASSIGNMENTS ARE VAGUE.

I REALLY ENJOY MR SIZEMORE & HIS CLASS.

CRN: ARTS 1301-3C1**SNS:** 90547**Instructor:** Sizemore, William**Course Title:** Art Appreciation**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

:)

HE'S MY FAVORITE TEACHER :)

PRETTY COOL GUY

AWESOME PERSON! GREAT SENSE OF HUMOR.

GTS IS THE BEST ADVICE EVER

LOVES HIS JOB AND WHAT HE DOES.

CRN: ENGL 1302-2A1**SNS:** 90465**Instructor:** Sizemore, William**Course Title:** Composition II**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

TAKES A WHILE FOR THIS INSTRUCTOR TO RESPOND TO EMAILS SOMETIMES. ALSO, HE GIVES A LITTLE EXPLANATION OF HOW HE GRADES YOUR WORK, BUT DOES NOT OFFER SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO FIX IT.

CRN: ENGL 1302-3A1**SNS:** 90540**Instructor:** Sizemore, William**Course Title:** Composition II**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

HE IS A LOT BETTER TEACHER IN CLASS THAN ONLINE

STAN LEE DIED TODAY.

CRN: HUMA 1315-2D1**SNS:** 90473**Instructor:** Sizemore, William**Course Title:** Fine Arts Appreciation**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

THIS WAS A VERY INTERESTING CLASS.

STARTED OFF GREAT, NO PROBLEMS AT ALL. THEN ABOUT THE 5TH OR 6TH WEEK, ASSIGNMENTS AREN'T GETTING POSTED UNTIL TUESDAY. EVEN AFTER POSTING THEM ON TUESDAY, INSTRUCTIONS ARE NOT POSTED IN FULL OR AT ALL, OR SUBMIT LINKS AREN'T ATTACHED. WE HAVE TO EMAIL HIM. THEN HE DOESN'T RESPOND FOR 2 DAYS, OR SO. SO WE DON'T GET THE FULL COMPLETE ASSIGNMENT UNTIL LATE THURSDAY OR FRIDAY. SO WHEN WE HAVE EVEN MORE ISSUES WE CANT GET IN TOUCH WITH HIM UNTIL MONDAY. AT WHICH POINT THE ASSIGNMENT IS LATE. AND CAN NOT BE TURNED IN. THIS SHOULD DEFINITELY NOT BE AN ONLINE COURSE. AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS SEMESTER THIS WAS MY HIGHEST GRADE BUT IS CONSTANTLY DROPPING BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF BEING ABLE TO GET MY ASSIGNMENTS DONE EARLY INSTEAD OF LAST MINUTE.

MANY ISSUES HAVE OCCURRED. ASSIGNMENTS THAT WERE NOT OPENED NOR EXPLAINED CORRECTLY. IF AN ASSIGNMENT WAS OPENED LATE IN THE WEEK BEING TUESDAY OR WEDNESDAY AND WANTED THEM FINISHED BY SUNDAY WITHOUT NO COMPENSATION. IT'S NOT FAIR BECAUSE WE PAY FOR FULL WEEK WORTH OF WORK NOT A HALF WEEK. ANOTHER THING IS IN THE BEGINNING OF TE SEMESTER HE STATED WE NEEDED NETFLIX ONLY FOR THE CORSE WELL, SINCE THEN I'VE HAD TO PURCHASE SOME SHOWS OR DOWNLOAD OTHER APPS TO FIND THE SHOW HE WANTS US TO REVIEW.

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Sizemore, William

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	ENGL 1302-2A1	URL/Email	23	8	4.17	4.29	4.22	4.09	87.6%	85.0%	82.6%	75.0%	80.0%
GEDS	HUMA 1315-2D1	URL/Email	22	8	3.23	3.15	3.38	3.47	70.0%	65.0%	65.0%	70.0%	60.0%
GEDS	ARTS 1301-2A1	URL/Email	23	10	3.97	4.05	3.96	4.00	80.0%	78.0%	80.0%	78.0%	80.0%
GEDS	ARTS 1301-3A1	Paper	26	22	4.29	4.31	4.48	4.17	88.2%	86.4%	79.0%	81.0%	83.9%
GEDS	ENGL 1302-3A1	Paper	20	12	4.57	4.70	4.88	4.64	93.4%	88.4%	93.4%	90.0%	93.4%
GEDS	ARTS 1301-3C1	Paper	19	15	4.23	4.32	4.32	4.24	86.6%	84.1%	85.4%	85.4%	90.7%
GEDS	ARTS 1301-2A4	URL/Email	16	5	4.30	4.39	4.36	4.35	88.1%	88.1%	85.0%	88.1%	88.1%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.14	4.20	4.27	4.14	85.8%	83.0%	81.8%	81.7%	83.6%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------