# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Fine Arts Appreciation								tructor: Phillips, Meagan	TOR: Phillips, Meagan				
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	HUMA 1315-3C1		CRN:	90498	DEPARTM	MENT:	General Education and Developmental St	rudies				
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:	25	ENROLLMENT:	30		DELIVERY MET	тнор: Ра	aper	SEMESTER & YEAR:	Fall 2018				

COMPLET	ED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLME	NI: <u>50</u>	DELIVERY METHOD: Tuper SEMESTER & YEAR: Tuli 2010									
							AV	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR					
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1		ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE			
1	18	5	2	0	0	4.64	4.63	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42			
2	18	7	0	0	0	4,72	4,71	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47			
3	17	6	1	0	0	4.67	4.52	4.23	4,24	4,15	4.52	4.33			
4	16	5	3	0	1	4.40	4.40	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19			
5	15	9	1	0	0	4.56	4.65	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42			
6	17	6	2	0	0	4.60	4.66	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35			
7	17	7	1	0	0	4.64	4.69	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44			
8	20	5	0	0	0	4.80	4.78	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48			
9	21	4	0	0	0	4.84	4.82	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43			
10	19	5	0	0	0	4.79	4.75	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44			
11	19	6	0	0	0	4.76	4.75	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37			
12	19	6	0	0	0	4.76	4.69	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42			
13	19	5	1	0	0	4.72	4.63	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40			
14	18	6	1	0	0	4.68	4.68	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44			
15	16	7	2	0	0	4.56	4.55	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37			
16	18	6	1	0	0	4.68	4.68	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45			
17	17	7	1	0	0	4.64	4.57	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41			
18	19	6	0	0	0	4.76	4.71	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39			
19	20	4	1	0	0	4.76	4.69	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43			
20	20	5	0	0	0	4.80	4.71	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30			
21	19	6	0	0	0	4.76	4.71	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41			
22	18	6	1	0	0	4.68	4.62	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36			
23	19	5	0	0	0	4.79	4.81	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48			
	Ove	rall Column	Average (ii	n percentag	e %)	94%	93.4%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

#### THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

#### THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

#### **Online Course Questions**

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

#### THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

#### NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

## RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

College Survey Services, Inc. 800 - 755 - 9065

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Fine Arts Appreciation								исток:_ Phillips, Meagan				
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	HUMA 1315-3D1		CRN:	90507	DEPART	MENT:	General Education and Developmental St	rudies			
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:	26	ENROLLMENT:	28		DELIVERY MET	гнор: Ро	aper	SEMESTER & YEAR:	Fall 2018			

COMPLET	ED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLME	NI: <u></u> _	DELIVERY METHOD: Tuper SEMESTER & YEAR: Tun 2010									
							AV	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR					
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	ICY OF RE	SPONSES 1		THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE			
1	17	9	0	0	0	4.65	4.63	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42			
2	18	8	0	0	0	4.69	4,71	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47			
3	17	8	1	0	0	4.62	4.52	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33			
4	18	7	1	0	0	4.65	4.40	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19			
5	17	9	0	0	0	4.65	4.65	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42			
6	18	8	0	0	0	4.69	4.66	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35			
7	18	8	0	0	0	4.69	4.69	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44			
8	22	4	0	0	0	4.85	4.78	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48			
9	20	6	0	0	0	4.77	4.82	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43			
10	21	5	0	0	0	4.81	4.75	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44			
11	20	6	0	0	0	4.77	4.75	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37			
12	19	7	0	0	0	4.73	4.69	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42			
13	16	10	0	0	0	4.62	4.63	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40			
14	17	8	1	0	0	4.62	4.68	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44			
15	17	7	2	0	0	4.58	4.55	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37			
16	18	8	0	0	0	4.69	4.68	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45			
17	15	10	1	0	0	4.54	4.57	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41			
18	20	6	0	0	0	4.77	4.71	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39			
19	18	8	0	0	0	4.69	4.69	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43			
20	18	7	1	0	0	4.65	4.71	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30			
21	20	6	0	0	0	4.77	4.71	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41			
22	18	5	3	0	0	4.58	4.62	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36			
23	23	2	0	0	0	4.92	4.81	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48			
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	94%	93.4%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

#### THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

#### THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

#### **Online Course Questions**

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

#### THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

#### NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

## RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

College Survey Services, Inc. 800 - 755 - 9065

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Composition I	COURSE TITLE: Composition I INSTRUCTOR: Phillips, Meagan											
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	ENGL 1301-3G1		CRN:	90548	DEPARTMENT	τ: General Education and [	) Developmental S <sup>.</sup>	tudies			
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	14	ENROLLMENT:	20		DELIVERY MET	<sub>HOD:</sub> Paper	' SEME	STER & YEAR:	Fall 2018			

COMPLET	TED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLMEI	NT:	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	rupei	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR:	111 2016
							AV	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.63	4.36	4.37	4.28	4.58	4.42
2	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.71	4.40	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.47
3	5	5	4	0	0	4.07	4.52	4.23	4.24	4.15	4.52	4.33
4	5	3	6	0	0	3.93	4.40	3.98	3.98	3.94	4.41	4.19
5	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.65	4.35	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.42
6	11	2	1	0	0	4.71	4.66	4.30	4.31	4.22	4.55	4.35
7	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.69	4.39	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.44
8	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.78	4.58	4.61	4.37	4.72	4.48
9	12	2	0	0	0	4.86	4.82	4.49	4.52	4.29	4.65	4.43
10	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.75	4.54	4.57	4.32	4.69	4.44
11	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.75	4.38	4.41	4.22	4.56	4.37
12	10	3	0	0	1	4.50	4.69	4.45	4.47	4.32	4.62	4.42
13	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.63	4.44	4.47	4.26	4.63	4.40
14	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.68	4.49	4.51	4.37	4.65	4.44
15	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.55	4.41	4.43	4.25	4.60	4.37
16	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.68	4.47	4.49	4.34	4.64	4.45
17	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.57	4.40	4.42	4.31	4.61	4.41
18	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.71	4.49	4.53	4.25	4.67	4.39
19	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.69	4.39	4.40	4.32	4.61	4.43
20	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.71	4.29	4.32	4.14	4.53	4.30
21	10	3	0	0	1	4.50	4.71	4.38	4.39	4.27	4.58	4.41
22	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.62	4.37	4.39	4.25	4.58	4.36
23	8	5	0	0	0	4.62	4.81	4.40	4.41	4.31	4.59	4.48
_	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	91.4%	93.4%	87.8%	88.2%	85.4%	92%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

#### THE COURSE

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

#### THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

#### **Online Course Questions**

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

#### THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

#### NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

## RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

## RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

College Survey Services, Inc. 800 - 755 - 9065

**Lamar Institute of Technology** 

**Fall 2018** 

**Student Comments** 

CRN: ENGL 1301-3G1 SNS: 90548 Instructor: Phillips, Meagan

Course Title: Composition I Dept: General Education and Developm

**Delivery Method:** Paper

**Comments or Suggestions:** 

:)

**Lamar Institute of Technology** 

Fall 2018

**Student Comments** 

CRN: HUMA 1315-3C1 SNS: 90498 Instructor: Phillips, Meagan

Course Title: Fine Arts Appreciation Dept: General Education and Developm

**Delivery Method:** Paper

**Comments or Suggestions:** 

GREAT TEACHER. "I LOVE ART"

AN AMAZING TEACHER! I HAVE LEARNED A LOT IN THIS CLASS.

I THINK SHE IS A GOOD PROFESSOR

THE BEST TEACHER EVER!

LOVED YOUR CLASS. THANK YOU SO MUCH!!! <3

**Lamar Institute of Technology** 

**Fall 2018** 

**Student Comments** 

CRN: HUMA 1315-3D1 SNS: 90507 Instructor: Phillips, Meagan

Course Title: Fine Arts Appreciation Dept: General Education and Developm

**Delivery Method:** Paper

**Comments or Suggestions:** 

WINDERTO PERIODIENT OFFE WINNESS EETHANING ENGINAND FON.

GREAT PROFESSOR! I REALLY ENJOY THE CLASS & FIND THAT IT CHALLENGES ME TO THINK IN THE BIGGER PICTURE.

.....

THE INSTRUCTOR IS VERY ORGANIZED AND HER TECHNIQUES ARE VERY HELPFUL<3.

CHANGE ATTENDANCE POLICY

PERSONALLY THIS IS MY FAVORITE CLASS.

MEGAN PHILLIPS IS AN EXCELLENT INSTRUCTOR. SHE IS ALWAYS ENCOURAGING AND THOUGHTFUL

I LOOK FORWARD TO HER CLASS.

# CE-Vue®

## **Construct Averages**

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Phillips, Meagan

C-1 = Effective Communication

C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter

C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students

C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice

Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class

Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	HUMA 1315-3C1	Paper	30	25	4.67	4.73	4.66	4.76	91.2%	93.6%	95.2%	95.2%	95.8%
GEDS	HUMA 1315-3D1	Paper	28	26	4.68	4.73	4.60	4.73	93.0%	93.9%	95.4%	93.9%	98.4%
GEDS	ENGL 1301-3G1	Paper	20	14	4.67	4.71	4.59	4.52	95.8%	92.8%	90.0%	91.4%	92.4%

**Average All Course Sections for This Instructor** 

4.67

4.73

4.62

4.70

93.0%

9

93.6%

94.2%

93.9% 96.2%