Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Basic Computer Aided Drafting-MW   **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 1309-6A1   **CRN:** 10009   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 12   **ENROLLMENT:** 15   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.85	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.89	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	10	1	1	0	0	4.75	4.76	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.77	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.82	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	11	0	1	0	0	4.83	4.76	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.79	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.82	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.81	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	11	0	1	0	0	4.83	4.81	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.87	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.81	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.85	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.71	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.85	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.81	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.87	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					98.2%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: **Statement 23**
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Drafting for Specific Occupations-TR   **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 1313-6A1   **CRN:** 10010   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 18   **ENROLLMENT:** 22   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	13	5	0	0	0	4.72	4.84	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	14	4	0	0	0	4.78	4.85	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	14	3	1	0	0	4.72	4.89	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	13	4	1	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	15	3	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	14	4	0	0	0	4.78	4.82	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	15	2	1	0	0	4.78	4.76	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	15	3	0	0	0	4.83	4.90	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	15	3	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	15	3	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	13	4	1	0	0	4.67	4.79	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	14	3	1	0	0	4.72	4.82	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	13	3	2	0	0	4.61	4.81	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	14	4	0	0	0	4.78	4.81	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	16	2	0	0	0	4.89	4.87	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	**16**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**4.83**	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	15	3	0	0	0	4.83	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	**15**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**4.72**	4.81	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	17	1	0	0	0	4.94	4.85	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	14	3	1	0	0	4.72	4.71	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	16	1	1	0	0	4.83	4.85	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	15	2	1	0	0	4.78	4.81	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	**14**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**4.81**	4.87	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					95.6%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Computer-Aided Drafting-MW   **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2319-6B1   **CRN:** 10016   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 4   **ENROLLMENT:** 9   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.71	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: **Statement 23**
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Pipe Drafting-MWF **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2323-6A1   **CRN:** 10017   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 7   **ENROLLMENT:** 11   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.76	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.71	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.81	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Civil Drafting-TR **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2330-6A1 **CRN:** 10018 **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 8 **ENROLLMENT:** 9 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.84	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.85	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.76	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	5	2	1	0	0	4.50	4.77	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.82	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	6	0	2	0	0	4.50	4.76	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	8	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.87	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	7	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.79	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	5	3	0	0	0	4.63	4.82	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.81	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.81	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.87	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	7	0	0	1	0	4.63	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	7	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.81	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	6	0	2	0	0	4.50	4.85	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	5	2	0	1	0	4.38	4.71	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.85	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	6	1	1	0	0	4.63	4.81	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	6	2	0	0	0	4.75	4.87	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.6%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: **Statement 23**
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Final Project: Advanced Drafting-MW **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2338-6A1 **CRN:** 10020 **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 4 **ENROLLMENT:** 6 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	3	0	1	0	0	4.50	4.84	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.85	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.76	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.77	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.82	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.76	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.90	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.87	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.79	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.82	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.81	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	1	3	0	0	0	4.25	4.81	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.87	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	2	0	2	0	0	4.00	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	2	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.81	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.85	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	2	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.71	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.85	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.81	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	3	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.87	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						90.4%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

TECH_ Reports

TECH_PoussonJohnny_ R

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Advanced Pipe Drafting-MWF   **INSTRUCTOR:** Pousson, Johnny

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2345-6A1   **CRN:** 10021   **DEPARTMENT:** Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9   **ENROLLMENT:** 10   **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.84	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.85	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.89	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.76	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	6	3	0	0	0	4.67	4.77	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.82	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	8	0	1	0	0	4.78	4.76	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.90	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.87	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.79	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.82	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.81	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.81	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.87	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.79	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	7	1	1	0	0	4.67	4.81	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	8	0	1	0	0	4.78	4.85	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	7	1	0	1	0	4.56	4.71	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	8	0	1	0	0	4.78	4.85	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	7	1	1	0	0	4.67	4.81	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.87	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					96.2%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: 10009   **SNS:** DFTG 1309-6A1   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Basic Computer Aided Drafting-MW

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

LOVE YOUR TEACHING STYLE, REALLY HELPS SLOW LEARNERS LIKE ME.

ONE OF THE BEST INSTRUCTORS I'VE EVER HAD.

GREAT PROFESSOR. LECTURES SOMETIMES DRAG ON AND ON BUT THAT'S THE ONLY NEGATIVE FOR ME. I'VE LEARNED A LOT.

I LOVE YOU!

GREAT TEACHER

CRN: 10010   **SNS:** DFTG 1313-6A1   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Drafting for Specific Occupations-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT INSTRUCTOR! I'M LEARNING A LOT FROM THIS COURSE.

VERY GOOD, FLEXIBLE AND UNDERSTANDING

GREAT TEACHER, GREAT CLASS

MR P GOT THE TREES

THIS MAN COOL AF

FAIR, LEVEL HEADED, APPROACHABLE

CRN: 10016   **SNS:** DFTG 2319-6B1   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Intermediate Computer-Aided Drafting-MW

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

THIS COURSE HAS TAUGHT ME SO MUCH. I HAVE ENJOYED EVERY CLASS AND EVERY PROFESSOR. ALL OF THE PROFESSORS ARE EXTREMELY NICE AND REALLY DO GET TO KNOW YOU AS A PERSON.

MORE NIGHT CLASSES FOR DRAFTING

CRN: 10017   **SNS:** DFTG 2323-6A1   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Pipe Drafting-MWF

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

EXPLAINS & RE-EXPLAINS IF ASK QUESTIONS & DOESN'T GET AGGRAVATED.

CRN: 10018   **SNS:** DFTG 2330-6A1   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Civil Drafting-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

I WISH I CAN RATE 11/10 INSTEAD OF 5/5.

BEST INSTRUCTOR I HAVE EVER HAD.

MR P IS THE BOSS MAN!

CRN: 10021   **SNS:** DFTG 2345-6A1   **Instructor:** Pousson, Johnny

Course Title: Advanced Pipe Drafting-MWF

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

AT TIMES THE CLASS WAS CHALLENGING, BUT I APPRECIATE IT NOW WORKING IN THE FIELD. THE WAY HE MADE US FIND THE ANSWERS TO OUR QUESTIONS ARE THE SAME WAY IN THE FIELD.

GREAT INSTRUCTOR AND HAS A GOOD SENSE OF HUMOR

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages
All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = **Pousson, Johnny**

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	DFTG 1309-6A1	Paper	15	12	4.92	4.93	4.90	4.92	98.4%	98.4%	98.4%	98.4%	98.4%
TECH	DFTG 1313-6A1	Paper	22	18	4.77	4.77	4.76	4.83	96.6%	96.6%	94.4%	98.9%	96.2%
TECH	DFTG 2319-6B1	Paper	9	4	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	DFTG 2323-6A1	Paper	11	7	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	DFTG 2330-6A1	Paper	9	8	4.60	4.78	4.75	4.63	90.0%	92.6%	97.6%	90.0%	95.0%
TECH	DFTG 2338-6A1	Paper	6	4	4.30	4.35	4.56	4.75	85.0%	80.0%	90.0%	95.0%	95.0%
TECH	DFTG 2345-6A1	Paper	10	9	4.76	4.80	4.81	4.81	93.4%	95.7%	93.4%	95.7%	97.8%
Average All Course Sections for This Instructor					**4.78**	**4.82**	**4.82**	**4.84**	**95.4%**	**95.8%**	**96.2%**	**97.0%**	**97.4%**

Color Code =

Less than 3.0	3.0 to 4.0	More than 4.0
Less than 60%	60% to 80%	More than 80%