COURSE TITLE: Physics of Ins	trum	entation-TR	INS	INSTRUCTOR: Champagne, Steve					
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	INCR 1402-6B1		CRN:	10383	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	у	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	10	ENROLLMENT:	16		DELIVERY MET	_{тнор:} Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLET	TED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLME	NT:	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019				
							Α\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.48	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.51	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.50	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.50	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.53	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.50	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.48	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.74	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	7	1	2	0	0	4.50	4.51	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.64	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	7	1	2	0	0	4.50	4.46	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.52	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.60	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.61	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.63	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.61	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	7	1	2	0	0	4.50	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.46	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.47	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	7	1	2	0	0	4.50	4.53	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	8	1	1	0	0	4.70	4.59	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.55	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	93.2%	90.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

- THE INSTRUCTOR

 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

COURSE TITLE: Principles of I	ndust	rial Measurement	INS	INSTRUCTOR: Champagne, Steve					
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	NTC 1301-3B1		CRN:	10388	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	ЭУ	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	29	ENROLLMENT:	37		DELIVERY ME	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLET	TED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLME	NT: 3/	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	Paper	SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019			
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	20	7	1	1	0	4.59	4.48	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	19	8	1	1	0	4.55	4.51	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	20	7	1	1	0	4.59	4.50	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	19	8	1	1	0	4.55	4.50	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	20	7	2	0	0	4.62	4.53	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	19	8	1	1	0	4.55	4.50	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	20	7	1	1	0	4.59	4.48	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	22	5	2	0	0	4.69	4.74	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	20	6	3	0	0	4.59	4.51	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	22	5	2	0	0	4.69	4.64	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	21	6	1	1	0	4.62	4.46	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	21	6	2	0	0	4.66	4.52	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	22	5	1	1	0	4.66	4.60	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	22	5	1	1	0	4.66	4.61	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	21	6	2	0	0	4.66	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	22	6	1	0	0	4.72	4.63	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	22	5	2	0	0	4.69	4.61	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	21	7	1	0	0	4.69	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	21	6	1	0	1	4.59	4.46	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	21	6	1	0	1	4.59	4.47	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	22	5	1	0	1	4.62	4.53	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	22	5	1	1	0	4.66	4.59	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	21	6	2	0	0	4.66	4.55	4.62			4.62	4.46
		rall Column	1			92.6%	90.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

- THE INSTRUCTOR

 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

COURSE TITLE: Principles of I	ndust	rial Measurement	s I-	INSTRUCTOR: Champagne, Steve					
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	INTC 1301-3C1		CRN:	10389	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	ny	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	35	ENROLLMENT:	39		DELIVERY ME	THOD: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLET	TED FOR TH	IS SECTION:	35	ENROLLME	NT: 39	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019				
							. A\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN 4	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	18	17	0	0	0	4.51	4.48	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	21	13	1	0	0	4.57	4.51	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	21	14	0	0	0	4.60	4.50	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	22	13	0	0	0	4.63	4.50	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	21	13	1	0	0	4.57	4.53	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	19	16	0	0	0	4.54	4.50	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	21	12	2	0	0	4.54	4.48	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	28	7	0	0	0	4.80	4.74	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	21	11	2	1	0	4.49	4.51	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	24	11	0	0	0	4.69	4.64	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	20	12	2	1	0	4.46	4.46	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	21	12	2	0	0	4.54	4.52	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	22	13	0	0	0	4.63	4.60	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	21	14	0	0	0	4.60	4.61	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	24	11	0	0	0	4.69	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	23	12	0	0	0	4.66	4.63	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	21	14	0	0	0	4.60	4.61	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	20	13	1	1	0	4.49	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	18	15	1	1	0	4.43	4.46	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	21	12	2	0	0	4.54	4.47	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	22	12	1	0	0	4.60	4.53	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	24	10	1	0	0	4.66	4.59	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	24	7	4	0	0	4.57	4.55	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	91.6%	90.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

- THE INSTRUCTOR

 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

course title: Programmable	Logi	c Controllers-MW				INSTRUCTOR: Champagne, Steve						
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	RBTC 1401-6B1		CRN:	10395	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	у				
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:	18	ENROLLMENT:	19		DELIVERY ME	тнор: ^{Paper}		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019				

COMPLET	OMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 18 ENROLLMENT: 19						RY METHOD: _	raper	SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019			
							. A\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	6	10	1	1	0	4,17	4.48	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	6	10	2	0	0	4.22	4.51	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	6	8	4	0	0	4.11	4.50	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	6	8	3	0	1	4.00	4.50	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	7	8	3	0	0	4.22	4.53	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	7	9	1	1	0	4.22	4.50	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	6	8	4	0	0	4.11	4.48	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	12	5	1	0	0	4.61	4.74	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	10	6	2	0	0	4.44	4.51	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	10	6	2	0	0	4.44	4.64	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	7	7	4	0	0	4.17	4.46	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	8	6	4	0	0	4.22	4.52	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	10	5	3	0	0	4.39	4.60	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	10	6	2	0	0	4.44	4.61	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	7	2	1	0	4.22	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	9	7	2	0	0	4.39	4.63	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	10	6	2	0	0	4.44	4.61	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	9	7	1	1	0	4.33	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	9	5	3	0	1	4.17	4.46	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	7	6	4	1	0	4.06	4.47	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	9	6	2	1	0	4.28	4.53	4.60			4.62	4.40
22 23	9 11	6 4	1	2	0 0	4.28	4.59	4.56			4.61	4.35
			_	n percentag		4.33	4.55	4.62	00/	0%	4.62	4.46
24				_		85.4%	90.8%	92% 0.00	0 %	0.00	92.6%	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

- THE INSTRUCTOR

 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10383

SNS: INCR 1402-6B1

Instructor: Champagne, Steve

Course Title: Physics of Instrumentation-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT TEACHER

WONDERFUL TEACHER

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10388 **SNS:** INTC 1301-3B1

Champagne, Steve Instructor:

Principles of Industrial Measurements I-**Course Title:**

Technology

Dept: **Delivery Method:** Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

A BADASS.

Spring 2019

Student Comments

10389 CRN:

SNS: INTC 1301-3C1

Champagne, Steve Instructor:

Principles of Industrial Measurements I-**Course Title:**

Technology

Dept: **Delivery Method:** Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

BEST TEACHER I'VE HAD

AWESOME TEACHER. DON'T LET HIM RETIRE.

AMAZING!

AWESOME TEACHER!

GREAT INSTRUCTOR

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10395 **SNS:** RBTC 1401-6B1

Champagne, Steve Instructor:

Programmable Logic Controllers-MW **Course Title:**

Technology

Dept: **Delivery Method:** Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MR CULP IS A GREAT ADDITION TO THIS COURSE!

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Champagne, Steve

C-1 = Effective Communication

C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter

C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students

C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Note: 4.00 = 80%

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	INCR 1402-6B1	Paper	16	10	4.64	4.58	4.72	4.60	94.0%	94.0%	90.0%	94.0%	92.0%
TECH	INTC 1301-3B1	Paper	37	29	4.61	4.61	4.66	4.62	92.4%	94.4%	93.9%	91.8%	93.2%
ТЕСН	INTC 1301-3C1	Paper	39	35	4.56	4.51	4.64	4.52	91.4%	93.2%	89.8%	88.6%	91.4%
TECH	RBTC 1401-6B1	Paper	19	18	4.19	4.28	4.33	4.22	84.4%	87.8%	86.6%	83.4%	86.6%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.51

4.51

4.60

4.50

90.7%

92.6%

6%

90.4%

91.0%

89.2%