COURSE TITLE: Electrical Drafting-MWF						INS	STRUCTOR: 5	pooner, Stanley		
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	DFTG 2307-6A1		CRN:	10012	DEPARTMENT:	Technology			
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:	10	ENROLLMENT:	20		DELIVERY ME	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR:	Spring 2019	

COMPLET	ED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLME	NT:	DELIVERY METHOD: SEMESTER & YEAR:										
							. A\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR						
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	T	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE				
1	5	4 5	3	0	0	4.50	4.47	4.61			4.62	4.44				
2	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.44	4.59			4.63	4.47				
3	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.34	4.59			4.56	4.35				
4	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.42	4.54			4.49	4.27				
5	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.54	4.57			4.61	4.43				
6	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.54	4.58			4.59	4.39				
7	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.43	4.59			4.62	4.45				
8	4	5	1	0	0	4.30	4.51	4.71			4.74	4.49				
9	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.47	4.64			4.68	4.41				
10	4	6	0	0	0	4,40	4.41	4.64			4.71	4.47				
11	4	4	1	1	0	4.10	4.32	4.54			4.60	4.37				
12	4	6	0	0	0	4.40	4.49	4.62			4.65	4.41				
13	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.44	4.59			4.64	4.43				
14	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.44	4.60			4.65	4.48				
15	4	5	1	0	0	4.30	4.37	4.57			4.63	4.39				
16	4	5	0	1	0	4.20	4.44	4.61			4.65	4.45				
17	4	4	0	1	0	4.22	4.33	4.59			4.63	4.47				
18	4	5	0	0	0	4.44	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40				
19	5	4	1	0	0	4.40	4.59	4.63			4.63	4.41				
20	4	4	1	1	0	4.10	4.27	4.53			4.56	4.27				
21	5	5	0	0	0	4.50	4.56	4.60			4.62	4.40				
22	6	4	0	0	0	4.60	4.42	4.56			4.61	4.35				
23	5	2	3	0	0	4.20	4.26	4.62			4.62	4.46				
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	je %)	87.8%	88.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%				
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: _Instrumentation Drafting-MWF INSTRUCTOR: _Spooner, Stanley SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: _DFTG 2308-6A1 CRN: _10014 DEPARTMENT: _Technology							Spooner, Stanley		
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: DFTG 2308-6A1				CRN:	10014	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	у	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	11	ENROLLMENT:	19		DELIVERY ME	_{тнор:} Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLET	ED FOR TH	IS SECTION:	11	ENROLLMEI	NT: 19	DELIVERY METHOD: Paper SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019								
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR				
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE		
1	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.47	4.61			4.62	4.44		
2	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.44	4.59			4.63	4.47		
3	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.34	4.59			4.56	4.35		
4	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.42	4.54			4.49	4.27		
5	8	2	1	0	0	4.64	4.54	4.57			4.61	4.43		
6	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.54	4.58			4.59	4.39		
7	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.43	4.59			4.62	4.45		
8	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.51	4.71			4.74	4.49		
9	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.47	4.64			4.68	4.41		
10	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.41	4.64			4.71	4.47		
11	7	2	2	0	0	4.45	4.32	4.54			4.60	4.37		
12	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.49	4.62			4.65	4.41		
13	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.44	4.59			4.64	4.43		
14	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.44	4.60			4.65	4.48		
15	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.37	4.57			4.63	4.39		
16	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.44	4.61			4.65	4.45		
17	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.33	4.59			4.63	4.47		
18	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40		
19	7	2	2	0	0	4.45	4.59	4.63			4.63	4.41		
20	7	2	2	0	0	4.45	4.27	4.53			4.56	4.27		
21	7	3	1	0	0	4.55	4.56	4.60			4.62	4.40		
22	8	2	1	0	0	4.64	4.42	4.56			4.61	4.35		
23	7	1	1	1	0	4.40	4.26	4.62			4.62	4.46		
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	90.8%	88.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%		
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Process Instrumentation I-TR							INSTRUCTOR: Spooner, Stanley					
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	PTAC 1332-3B1		CRN:	10411	DEPARTMENT:	Technology	,				
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	14	ENROLLMENT:	20		DELIVERY MET	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019				

COMPLET	ED FOR TH	IS SECTION:	14	ENROLLME	NT:	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019				
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	6	6	0	2	0	4.14	4.47	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	8	3	2	1	0	4.29	4.44	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	9	0	2	2	1	4.00	4.34	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	6	5	2	1	0	4.14	4.42	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	8	4	1	0	1	4.29	4.54	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	9	2	3	0	0	4.43	4.54	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	9	3	1	1	0	4.43	4.43	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	8	3	3	0	0	4.36	4.51	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	8	4	1	1	0	4.36	4.47	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	7	3	4	0	0	4.21	4.41	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	6	3	4	1	0	4.00	4.32	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	9	3	1	0	1	4.36	4.49	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	8	4	0	2	0	4.29	4.44	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	8	4	1	1	0	4.36	4.44	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	2	3	0	1	4.14	4.37	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	8	4	1	1	0	4.36	4.44	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	3	2	0	1	4.21	4.33	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.59	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	6	4	3	0	1	4.00	4.27	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.56	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	9	2	1	2	0	4.29	4.42	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	8	3	0 "	2	1	4.07	4.26	4.62			4.62	4.46
		rall Column	Average (i	n percentag		85.6%	88.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Process Instrumentation I-MW						INS	STRUCTOR:	Spooner, Stanley	
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	PTAC 1332-3C1		CRN:	10414	DEPARTMENT:	Technology	/	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	24	ENROLLMENT:	29		DELIVERY MET	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLET	TED FOR TH	IS SECTION:		ENROLLME	NT: <u>49</u>	_ DELIVERY METHOD: _rαper SEMESTER & YEAR:Spring 2019									
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR					
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE			
1	15	9	0	0	0	4.63	4.47	4.61			4.62	4.44			
2	15	7	0	2	0	4.46	4.44	4.59			4.63	4.47			
3	16	4	2	1	1	4.38	4.34	4.59			4.56	4.35			
4	16	4	4	0	0	4.50	4.42	4.54			4.49	4.27			
5	17	6	1	0	0	4.67	4.54	4.57			4.61	4.43			
6	17	5	2	0	0	4.63	4.54	4.58			4.59	4.39			
7	15	3	3	2	0	4.35	4.43	4.59			4.62	4.45			
8	17	6	1	0	0	4.67	4.51	4.71			4.74	4.49			
9	16	5	3	0	0	4.54	4.47	4.64			4.68	4.41			
10	14	7	3	0	0	4.46	4.41	4.64			4.71	4.47			
11	16	6	1	1	0	4.54	4.32	4.54			4.60	4.37			
12	17	4	3	0	0	4.58	4.49	4.62			4.65	4.41			
13	15	6	2	1	0	4.46	4.44	4.59			4.64	4.43			
14	16	4	2	2	0	4.42	4.44	4.60			4.65	4.48			
15	16	3	5	0	0	4.46	4.37	4.57			4.63	4.39			
16	15	7	2	0	0	4.54	4.44	4.61			4.65	4.45			
17	16	3	3	1	1	4.33	4.33	4.59			4.63	4.47			
18	16	6	1	1	0	4.54	4.52	4.65			4.69	4.40			
19	19	3	2	0	0	4.71	4.59	4.63			4.63	4.41			
20	16	5	1	1	1	4.42	4.27	4.53			4.56	4.27			
21	16	5	3	0	0	4.54	4.56	4.60			4.62	4.40			
22	15	3	5	1	0	4.33	4.42	4.56			4.61	4.35			
23	16	3	3	1	1	4.33	4.26	4.62			4.62	4.46			
			Average (i	n percentag	je %)	90%	88.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10012

SNS: DFTG 2307-6A1

Instructor: S

Spooner, Stanley

Course Title:

Electrical Drafting-MWF

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

SAYS IT'S IMPORTANT TO KNOW WHAT WE ARE DRAWING BUT HARDLY GOES OVER IT. NEEDS TO EXPLAIN MORE OF THE DRAWINGS AND HOW THEY WORK.

GOOD PERSON. NEEDS TO DO MORE HANDS ON AND SHOW LESS VIDEOS.

I THINK MR SPOONER NEEDS TO TEACH HIS LESSONS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE CLASS AND EXPLAIN THE DRAWING AND HOW TO DRAW IT CLEARLY, THEN LET US DRAW AND ASK QUESTIONS FOR THE REST OF THE CLASS.

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10014

SNS: DFTG 2308-6A1

Instructor: Spooner, Stanley

Course Title: Instrum

Instrumentation Drafting-MWF

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

(DRAWING):) STATES "ME IN THIS CLASS"

NEED TO EXPLAIN EVERYTHING MORE CLEARLY AND NEEDS TO GO OVER THE LESSON AT THE BEGINNING OF CLASS AND LET US ASK QUESTIONS AND WORK FOR THE REST OF THE CLASS.

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10411

SNS: PTAC 1332-3B1

Instructor: Spooner, Stanley

Course Title:

Process Instrumentation I-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

AS GOOD AS A TEACHER YOU WERE, THERE'S ALWAYS ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENTS.

COULD GO MORE IN DEPTH ON SUBJECTS COVERED IN CLASS RATHER THAN TRYING TO REVIEW THE ENTIRE CHAPTER.

ROADS AND PARKING CONDITIONS ARE PRETTY BAD. NOT A LOT OF LAB TIME AND LAB BOOK IS A LITTLE HARD TO UNDERSTAND.

HE ASKS FOR FEEDBACK/SUGGESTIONS BUT THEN IS OFFENDED AND DEFENSIVE WHEN OFFERED. SOME OF THE POLICIES PROBABLY NEED TO BE IMPROVED, E.I. LATE WORK. OVERALL NICE AND CARING GENTLEMAN JUST NEEDS SOME POLISHING.

GETS "DEFENSIVE" WHEN CONSTRUCTIVE CRITICISM IS OFFERED. CHANGES LATE WORK POLICY ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS. RUSHES THROUGH LESSONS W/O THOROUGHLY EXPLAINING.

VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE

WE NEED MORE VISUAL LEARNING ON ACTUAL EQUIPMENT, STEPPING OUT OF CLASS AND GOING OVER THE PROCESS IN THE UNIT THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO USE. I HAVE BEEN HERE A YEAR AND ONLY HAVE BEEN ON THE OUTSIDE UNIT ONCE TO REVIEW EQUIPMENT ON THE OUTSIDE UNIT AND WE ARE NOT UTILIZING THE INSIDE AND OUTSIDE UNIT. MOST STUDENTS HAVE NEVER BEEN INSIDE A REFINERY AND I STILL CAN'T PICTURE HOW EVERYTHING IN A PROCESS UNIT PROPERLY OPERATES. KNOWING WHAT A PIECE OF EQUIPMENT LOOKS AND KNOWING WHAT IT DOES IS ON OUR BOOKS. SEEING IT WHILE IN USE MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE.

HE IS A VERY GOOD INSTRUCTOR WHO IS WILLING TO HELP THE STUDENTS.

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10414

SNS: PTAC 1332-3C1

Instructor:

Spooner, Stanley

Course Title:

Process Instrumentation I-MW

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MORE HANDS ON OF INSTRUMENTATION

ONLY THING I WOULD CHANGE ABOUT THE COURSE WOULD BE TO HAVE MORE HANDS ON LEARNING. BEST TEACHER I HAVE HAD.

I REALLY THINK ANY STUDENT CAN THRIVE IN MR SPOONER'S CLASS. HE COVERS ALL OF THE MATERIAL & DOESN'T TAKE ANY MISSTEPS. THE ONLY CRITICISM I HAVE "BRING MORE REAL LIFE EXAMPLES TO THE CLASSROOM TO HELP THE STUDENTS GRASP THE MATERIAL".

WOULD RECOMMEND

USE MORE HANDS ON APPROACH FOR TEACHING & GO INTO MORE DETAIL ON POWERPOINTS.

INSTRUCTOR IS KNOWLEDGEABLE BUT DOESN'T EXPLAIN MATERIAL VERY WELL

MR SPOONER IS AN EXCELLENT TEACHER. HE'S VERY APPROACHABLE AND EASY TO TALK TO.

VERY GREAT INSTRUCTOR.

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Spooner, Stanley

C-1 = Effective Communication

C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter

C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students

C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent Q16 = Available for Help and Advice

Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned

Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating

Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	DFTG 2307-6A1	Paper	20	10	4.36	4.39	4.47	4.43	90.0%	84.1%	88.9%	88.1%	84.1%
TECH	DFTG 2308-6A1	Paper	19	11	4.53	4.55	4.57	4.52	92.8%	91.0%	91.0%	89.0%	88.1%
TECH	PTAC 1332-3B1	Paper	20	14	4.27	4.29	4.27	4.55	85.8%	87.3%	90.0%	92.8%	81.4%
TECH	PTAC 1332-3C1	Paper	29	24	4.51	4.55	4.42	4.61	93.4%	90.8%	90.8%	94.2%	86.6%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.43

4.46

4.42

4.55

90.8%

88.9%

90.4%

91.8%

85.2%

Spring 2019

CE-Vue from College Survey Services, Inc. - 800-755-9065

Color Code =

Less than 3.0 Less than 60%

3.0 to 4.0 60% to 80% More than 4.0 More than 80%

Page 135 of 170