Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Art Appreciation-MWF **INSTRUCTOR:** Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ARTS 1301-3A1 **CRN:** 10004 **DEPARTMENT:** General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 7 **ENROLLMENT:** 11 **DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

| STATE-MENT | FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES | | | | | AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ... | | | | | | |
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
1	5	1	1	0	0	4.57	4.35	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	5	1	1	0	0	4.57	4.47	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.21	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.16	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.25	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	4	2	1	0	0	4.43	4.39	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.52	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	5	1	0	1	0	4.43	4.46	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.49	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	5	1	0	1	0	4.43	4.32	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.33	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.37	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.46	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.41	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.29	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.44	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.42	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	4	2	1	0	0	4.43	4.07	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	5	1	1	0	0	4.57	4.23	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.33	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.35	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	5	1	1	0	0	4.57	4.30	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
	Overall Column Average (in percentage %)					93.6%	86.4%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Composition II-TR　　　　**INSTRUCTOR:** Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ENGL 1302-3A1　　**CRN:** 10111　　**DEPARTMENT:** General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 19　　**ENROLLMENT:** 24　　**DELIVERY METHOD:** Paper　　**SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	13	6	0	0	0	4.68	4.35	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	15	4	0	0	0	4.79	4.47	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	13	3	2	1	0	4.47	4.21	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	14	3	2	0	0	4.63	4.16	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	13	6	0	0	0	4.68	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	13	4	2	0	0	4.58	4.25	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	14	4	1	0	0	4.68	4.39	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	17	2	0	0	0	4.89	4.52	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	18	1	0	0	0	4.95	4.46	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	18	1	0	0	0	4.95	4.49	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	14	5	0	0	0	4.74	4.32	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	12	5	2	0	0	4.53	4.33	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	14	5	0	0	0	4.74	4.37	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	17	2	0	0	0	4.89	4.46	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	16	3	0	0	0	4.84	4.41	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	13	4	2	0	0	4.58	4.29	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	16	3	0	0	0	4.84	4.44	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	15	4	0	0	0	4.79	4.42	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	12	5	2	0	0	4.53	4.07	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	14	5	0	0	0	4.74	4.23	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	12	5	2	0	0	4.53	4.33	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	14	5	0	0	0	4.74	4.35	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	16	3	0	0	0	4.84	4.30	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						94.4%	86.4%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Art Appreciation-   **INSTRUCTOR:** Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ARTS 1301-2A1   **CRN:** 10364   **DEPARTMENT:** General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 2   **ENROLLMENT:** 19   **DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.35	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.47	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.21	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.16	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.25	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.39	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.52	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.46	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.49	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.32	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.33	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.37	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.46	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.41	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.29	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.44	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.07	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.23	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.33	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.35	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.30	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						100%	86.4%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.97	4.10	0.00	4.10	0.00	4.25
25	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.93	4.17	0.00	4.17	0.00	4.30
26	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.29	4.25	0.00	4.25	0.00	4.34
27	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.13	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.44
28	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.06	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.38
29	2	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.26	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.45

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Composition II- **INSTRUCTOR:** Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ENGL 1302-2A1   **CRN:** 10365   **DEPARTMENT:** General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14   **ENROLLMENT:** 25   **DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.35	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.47	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	8	4	2	0	0	4.43	4.21	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	4	8	1	1	0	4.07	4.16	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.25	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.39	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	9	3	1	0	0	4.62	4.52	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.46	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.49	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.32	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.33	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.37	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	8	4	2	0	0	4.43	4.46	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	10	1	2	0	0	4.62	4.41	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.29	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	8	4	2	0	0	4.43	4.44	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	8	4	2	0	0	4.43	4.42	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	5	6	2	1	0	4.07	4.07	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	6	5	3	0	0	4.21	4.23	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	8	4	2	0	0	4.43	4.33	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	7	4	3	0	0	4.29	4.35	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	9	3	1	1	0	4.43	4.30	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						88.8%	86.4%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	7	5	2	0	0	4.36	3.97	4.10	0.00	4.10	0.00	4.25
25	7	4	2	0	0	4.38	3.93	4.17	0.00	4.17	0.00	4.30
26	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.29	4.25	0.00	4.25	0.00	4.34
27	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.13	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.44
28	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.06	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.38
29	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.26	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.45

GEDS_ Reports   GEDS_SizemoreWilliam_ R

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: **Statement 23**
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Composition I-   **INSTRUCTOR:** Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: ENGL 1301-2D1   **CRN:** 10371   **DEPARTMENT:** General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 4   **ENROLLMENT:** 23   **DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	0	1	1	2	0	2.75	4.35	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	0	3	0	0	1	3.25	4.47	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	0	1	0	2	1	2.25	4.21	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	0	1	0	2	1	2.25	4.16	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	0	3	0	0	1	3.25	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	0	1	1	1	1	2.50	4.25	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	0	1	1	1	1	2.50	4.39	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	4.52	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	0	3	0	0	1	3.25	4.46	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	0	1	2	0	1	2.75	4.49	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	4.32	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	0	1	1	1	1	2.50	4.33	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	0	2	0	1	1	2.75	4.37	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	4.46	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	0	1	1	1	1	2.50	4.41	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	4.29	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	0	3	0	0	1	3.25	4.44	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	0	3	0	0	1	3.25	4.42	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	0	1	0	1	2	2.00	4.07	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	0	1	0	2	1	2.25	4.23	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	4.33	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	0	3	0	0	1	3.25	4.35	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	0	1	0	1	2	2.00	4.30	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						55.2%	86.4%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	0	1	2	0	1	2.75	3.97	4.10	0.00	4.10	0.00	4.25
25	0	1	1	1	1	2.50	3.93	4.17	0.00	4.17	0.00	4.30
26	0	1	3	0	0	3.25	4.29	4.25	0.00	4.25	0.00	4.34
27	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	4.13	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.44
28	0	1	2	0	1	2.75	4.06	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.38
29	0	1	2	0	1	2.75	4.26	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.45

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:
 Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Fine Arts Appreciation-   **INSTRUCTOR:** Sizemore, William

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: HUMA 1315-2C1   **CRN:** 10453   **DEPARTMENT:** General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 11   **ENROLLMENT:** 29   **DELIVERY METHOD:** URL/Email   **SEMESTER & YEAR:** Spring 2019

STATE-MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE-WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE-WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1							
1	4	4	1	2	0	3.91	4.35	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	4	4	2	1	0	4.00	4.47	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	4	2	3	2	0	3.73	4.21	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	3	3	3	2	0	3.64	4.16	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	4	4	1	2	0	3.91	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	3	3	3	1	1	3.55	4.25	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	5	4	1	1	0	4.18	4.39	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	5	2	3	1	0	4.00	4.52	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	4	3	3	1	0	3.91	4.46	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	5	2	3	1	0	4.00	4.49	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	3	4	1	3	0	3.64	4.32	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	5	3	2	1	0	4.09	4.33	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	4	3	2	2	0	3.82	4.37	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	5	2	2	2	0	3.91	4.46	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	3	4	2	2	0	3.73	4.41	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	2	4	2	1	1	3.50	4.29	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	4	4	1	2	0	3.91	4.44	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	3	5	1	2	0	3.82	4.42	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	3	3	3	2	0	3.64	4.07	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	4	3	1	3	0	3.73	4.23	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	5	2	3	1	0	4.00	4.33	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	4	3	2	1	1	3.73	4.35	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	3	4	2	2	0	3.73	4.30	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						76.6%	86.4%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	3	4	2	2	0	3.73	3.97	4.10	0.00	4.10	0.00	4.25
25	3	4	2	2	0	3.73	3.93	4.17	0.00	4.17	0.00	4.30
26	4	4	2	1	0	4.00	4.29	4.25	0.00	4.25	0.00	4.34
27	3	6	0	2	0	3.91	4.13	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.44
28	3	5	1	2	0	3.82	4.06	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.38
29	4	5	1	1	0	4.09	4.26	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.45

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with
   objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a
    reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection
    of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%
RATING SCALE:
5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree
RATING SCALE: Statement 23
5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average, 2 = Needs Improvement, 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

---

CRN: 10004   **SNS:** ARTS 1301-3A1   **Instructor:** Sizemore, William

Course Title: Art Appreciation-MWF

Dept: General Education and Developm

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

VERY GOOD TEACHER, WOULD TAKE HIM AGAIN.

GOOD JOB! KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK!

:)

CRN: 10371   **SNS:** ENGL 1301-2D1   **Instructor:** Sizemore, William

Course Title: Composition I-

Dept: General Education and Developm

Delivery Method: URL/Email

Comments or Suggestions:

This professor didn't teach or participate AT ALL. He does not grade fairly. If I make a single mistake on an assignment he gives a zero. It takes him weeks to grade assignments and He doesn't answer his phone, or respond to voice mail. As of today I've sent him 3 emails and still have not gotten a response.

CRN: 10111   **SNS:** ENGL 1302-3A1   **Instructor:** Sizemore, William

Course Title: Composition II-TR

Dept: General Education and Developm

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

MR SIZEMORE IS A VERY GOOD PROFESSOR

CRN: 10453   **SNS:** HUMA 1315-2C1   **Instructor:**   Sizemore, William

Course Title: Fine Arts Appreciation-

Dept: General Education and Developm

Delivery Method: URL/Email

Comments or Suggestions:

his instructions on what he wants us to do most of the time, is very unclear. also you should put a link in you section of blackboard for the discussion board to make it easier to find. I am very tired of having to go on a wild goose chase to find it. one last thing, please quit giving us movies and stuff to watch. it is a complete waste of our time and does not challenge us to learn in any way. all you are basically doing is giving us something to do for the time being. take more passion in your work and actually teach us. there is so much to learn from art and I as well as others feel like this was a giant waste of time because we learned nothing. you really put art to shame like I said there was so much to learn from it and we learned none of it. I mean all of these ted talks and horror shows and films that no one has ever herd of really do nothing. if I wanted to learn about art from watching TV I would just watch Youtube all day. that's basically what you do and no one wants to do that.

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages
All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = **Sizemore, William**

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	ARTS 1301-3A1	Paper	11	7	4.60	4.63	4.82	4.62	97.2%	94.2%	97.2%	88.6%	91.4%
GEDS	ENGL 1302-3A1	Paper	24	19	4.65	4.79	4.80	4.53	93.6%	91.7%	95.8%	90.7%	96.8%
GEDS	ARTS 1301-2A1	URL/Email	19	2	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
GEDS	ENGL 1302-2A1	URL/Email	25	14	4.50	4.55	4.47	4.38	90.0%	90.0%	88.6%	81.4%	88.6%
GEDS	ENGL 1301-2D1	URL/Email	23	4	2.63	3.21	2.90	2.56	65.0%	60.0%	65.0%	40.0%	40.0%
GEDS	HUMA 1315-2C1	URL/Email	29	11	3.83	3.88	3.82	3.89	78.2%	70.0%	76.4%	72.8%	74.6%
Average All Course Sections for This Instructor					**4.30**	**4.41**	**4.36**	**4.22**	**88.4%**	**85.8%**	**88.4%**	**81.4%**	**86.0%**

Color Code =

Less than 3.0	3.0 to 4.0	More than 4.0
Less than 60%	60% to 80%	More than 80%