COURSE TITLE: Welding Safet	у, Тс	ols, and Equipment	INS	STRUCTOR:_	Noble, Margaret			
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	WLDG 1323-3A1	_	CRN:	10256	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	yy
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	14	ENROLLMENT:	18		DELIVERY MET	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14 ENROLLMENT: 15						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	ruper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spi	ing 2019
							. A\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	8	4	1	0	0	4.54	4.63	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	7	5	1	0	0	4.46	4.53	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	10	2	1	0	0	4.69	4.68	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	9	3	1	0	0	4.62	4.58	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	4	8	1	0	0	4.23	4.43	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	9	4	0	0	0	4.69	4.66	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	7	6	0	0	0	4.54	4.61	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	9	4	0	0	0	4.69	4.71	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	8	5	0	0	0	4.62	4.63	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	7	5	0	0	0	4.58	4.59	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	6	5	2	0	0	4.31	4.42	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	8	5	0	0	0	4.62	4.61	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	7	4	2	0	0	4.38	4.53	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	9	4	0	0	0	4.69	4.63	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	9	3	1	0	0	4.62	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	9	3	1	0	0	4.62	4.59	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	7	3	3	0	0	4.31	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	8	4	1	0	0	4.54	4.59	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	7	6	0	0	0	4.54	4.60	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	7	4	2	0	0	4.38	4.44	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	7	6	0	0	0	4.54	4.58	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	7	4	2	0	0	4.38	4.56	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	11	2	1	0	0	4.71	4.74	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	90.8%	91.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Welding Code	s-TR					INS	STRUCTOR:_	Noble, Margaret	
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SEC	TION:	WLDG 1327-3A1		CRN:	10258	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	ly	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	14	ENROLLMENT:	20		DELIVERY MET	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14 ENROLLMENT: 20						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	raper .	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ing 2019
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.63	4.61			4,62	4.44
2	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.53	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.68	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.58	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	6	7	1	0	0	4.36	4.43	4.57			4.61	4,43
6	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.66	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.61	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.71	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	7	6	1	0	0	4.43	4.63	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.59	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	7	6	1	0	0	4.43	4.42	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.61	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.53	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.63	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.59	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	4	2	0	0	4.43	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	6	8	0	0	0	4.43	4.59	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.60	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	7	6	1	0	0	4.43	4.44	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	7	6	1	0	0	4.43	4.58	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	8	5	1	0	0	4.50	4.56	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	10	2	1	0	0	4.69	4.74	4.62			4.62	4.46
		rall Column	Average (i	n percentag		90.6%	91.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Introduction	to Sh	ielded Metal Arc \	Neldi		instructor: Noble, Margaret							
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SEC	TION:	WLDG 1428-6A1		CRN:	10261	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	3 Y				
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	14	ENROLLMENT:	20		DELIVERY ME	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019				

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14 ENROLLMENT: 20						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	raper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ing 2019
							Α\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.63	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	10	2	1	1	0	4.50	4.53	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	11	2	0	1	0	4.64	4.68	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	10	3	0	1	0	4.57	4.58	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	9	2	2	0	0	4.54	4.43	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	11	2	0	1	0	4.64	4.66	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.61	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.71	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.63	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.59	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	9	3	2	0	0	4.50	4.42	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.61	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	9	4	0	1	0	4.50	4.53	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	10	3	0	1	0	4.57	4.63	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	10	3	0	1	0	4.57	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	10	3	0	1	0	4.57	4.59	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	9	4	1	0	0	4.57	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	11	3	0	0	0	4.79	4.59	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	10	4	0	0	0	4.71	4.60	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	9	3	1	1	0	4.43	4.44	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	10	3	1	0	0	4.64	4.58	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	11	2	0	1	0	4.64	4.56	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	12	0	0	0	1	4.69	4.74	4.62			4.62	4.46
				n percentag		92.4%	91.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate P	Noble, Margaret								
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTI	ION:	WLDG 2406-6B1		CRN:	10267	DEPARTMENT:	Technology	/	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:	4	ENROLLMENT:	9		DELIVERY ME	тнор: ^{Paper}		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 4 ENROLLMENT: 9						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	Paper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ing 2019
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT		1	1	SPONSES		THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1	F 00				32 3.12.112		
1	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.61			4.62	4.44
3	4 4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.53 4.68	4.59			4.63	4.47
4	4	0	0	0	0	5.00		4.59			4.56	4.35 4.27
5	4	0	0	0	0	5.00 5.00	4.58 4.43	4.54 4.57			4.49 4.61	4.43
6	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.43	4.57			4.59	4.43
7	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.59			4.62	4.39
8	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.01	4.59			4.74	4.49
9	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.71	4.71			4.74	4.49
10	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.64			4.00	4.41
11	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.42	4.54			4.60	4.47
12	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.61	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.53	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.63	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.59	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	3	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.60	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.44	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.58	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.74	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentage	e %)	100%	91.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate:	Shiel-	ded Metal Arc Wel	INS	INSTRUCTOR: Noble, Margaret					
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:_	WLDG 1457-6A1	_	CRN:	10274	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	JY	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	14	ENROLLMENT: 1	18		DELIVERY ME	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14 ENROLLMENT: 18						DELIVERY METHOD: Paper SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 20									
						AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR									
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN 4	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE			
1	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.63	4.61			4.62	4.44			
2	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.53	4.59			4.63	4.47			
3	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.68	4.59			4.56	4.35			
4	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.58	4.54			4.49	4.27			
5	7	6	1	0	0	4.43	4.43	4.57			4.61	4.43			
6	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.66	4.58			4.59	4.39			
7	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.61	4.59			4.62	4.45			
8	9	5	0	0	0	4.64	4.71	4.71			4.74	4.49			
9	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.63	4.64			4.68	4.41			
10	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.64			4.71	4.47			
11	5	8	1	0	0	4.29	4.42	4.54			4.60	4.37			
12	6	8	0	0	0	4.43	4.61	4.62			4.65	4.41			
13	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.53	4.59			4.64	4.43			
14	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.63	4.60			4.65	4.48			
15	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.59	4.57			4.63	4.39			
16	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.61			4.65	4.45			
17	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.49	4.59			4.63	4.47			
18	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.59	4.65			4.69	4.40			
19	7	7	0	0	0	4.50	4.60	4.63			4.63	4.41			
20	6	7	1	0	0	4.36	4.44	4.53			4.56	4.27			
21	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.58	4.60			4.62	4.40			
22	8	6	0	0	0	4.57	4.56	4.56			4.61	4.35			
23	11	1	1	0	0	4.77	4.74	4.62			4.62	4.46			
	Ove	rall Column	Average (ii	n percentag	e %)	90.6%	91.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%			
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10256 SNS: WLDG 1323-3A1 Instructor: Noble, Margaret

Course Title: Welding Safety, Tools, and Equipment-MW Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

STAY COOL

SHE GOOD WITH ME

BOOP

N/A
BOOP!

NICE PROGRAM!

VERY HELPFUL.

SHE DOES HER JOB WELL.

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10258

SNS: WLDG 1327-3A1

Instructor: Noble, Margaret

Course Title: Welding Codes-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GOOD INSTRUCTOR

I LOVE THIS TEACHER A WHOLE LOT.

BOOP

Spring 2019

Student Comments

10261 CRN:

SNS: WLDG 1428-6A1

Noble, Margaret Instructor:

Course Title: Introduction to Shielded Metal Arc Weldi

Technology

Dept: **Delivery Method:** Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

BOOP

BOOP! YOU'RE REALLY A GOOD TEACHER

VERY HELPFUL

GOOD INSTRUCTOR

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10274

SNS: WLDG 1457-6A1

Instructor: Noble, Margaret

Course Title: Intermediate Shielded Metal Arc Welding

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

GREAT!:)

REALLY GOOD TEACHER.

GOOD INSTRUCTOR

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Noble, Margaret

C-1 = Effective Communication

C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter

C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students

C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading

Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice

Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class

Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned

Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	WLDG 1323-3A1	Paper	18	14	4.55	4.43	4.52	4.56	84.7%	92.4%	90.8%	90.8%	94.2%
TECH	WLDG 1327-3A1	Paper	20	14	4.54	4.43	4.54	4.55	87.3%	91.4%	88.6%	91.4%	93.9%
TECH	WLDG 1428-6A1	Paper	20	14	4.61	4.62	4.57	4.67	90.8%	91.4%	95.8%	94.2%	93.9%
TECH	WLDG 2406-6B1	Paper	9	4	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	WLDG 1457-6A1	Paper	18	14	4.51	4.46	4.55	4.50	88.6%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	95.4%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.59

4.52

4.58

4.60

88.6%

91.8%

91.8%

92.0% 94.9%

Lamar Institute of Technology