

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Industrial Processes-MW

INSTRUCTOR: Sweeney, Robert

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 1354-3A1

CRN: 10417

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 7

ENROLLMENT: 11

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.90	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	5	1	1	0	0	4.57	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.90	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.87	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.90	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.92	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.90	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.90	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	5	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.84	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.85	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.92	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	6	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	7	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.83	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						97.2%	97.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Technology III-MW

INSTRUCTOR: Sweeney, Robert

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2438-6A1

CRN: 10447

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 15 ENROLLMENT: 18

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.90	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.87	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.92	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.90	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.90	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	12	2	0	1	0	4.67	4.84	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	13	1	0	0	1	4.67	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	13	2	0	0	0	4.87	4.92	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	14	0	0	0	1	4.73	4.85	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.92	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	15	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.93	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.90	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	13	0	0	1	0	4.79	4.83	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						98.2%	97.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Technology III-TR

INSTRUCTOR: Sweeney, Robert

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2438-6C1

CRN: 10449

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 15

ENROLLMENT: 18

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	11	3	1	0	0	4.67	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	11	3	1	0	0	4.67	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	14	0	1	0	0	4.87	4.90	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	14	0	1	0	0	4.87	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	12	2	1	0	0	4.73	4.87	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	14	0	1	0	0	4.87	4.90	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	14	0	1	0	0	4.87	4.92	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	14	0	1	0	0	4.87	4.92	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	14	0	1	0	0	4.87	4.90	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.85	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.92	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	14	0	1	0	0	4.87	4.93	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	12	2	1	0	0	4.73	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	13	1	1	0	0	4.80	4.93	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	12	2	1	0	0	4.73	4.90	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	14	1	0	0	0	4.93	4.83	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						96.2%	97.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

# Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Process Troubleshooting-TR

INSTRUCTOR: Sweeney, Robert

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PTAC 2446-6A1

CRN: 10451

DEPARTMENT: Technology

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES  
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 24

ENROLLMENT: 25

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.87	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.87	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.90	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	21	3	0	0	0	4.88	4.85	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.90	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	22	2	0	0	0	4.92	4.87	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	21	3	0	0	0	4.88	4.90	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.92	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.90	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.90	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	20	3	0	1	0	4.75	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.84	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.92	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.90	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	22	2	0	0	0	4.92	4.85	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.92	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	22	2	0	0	0	4.92	4.93	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	22	2	0	0	0	4.92	4.90	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.90	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.93	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	23	1	0	0	0	4.96	4.90	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	21	0	1	0	1	4.74	4.83	4.62			4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						98.6%	97.8%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

### THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

### THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

### Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

**NOTE: 4.00 = 80%**

#### **RATING SCALE:**

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,  
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,  
1 = Strongly Disagree

#### **RATING SCALE:**

##### **Statement 23**

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,  
2 = Needs Improvement,  
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement



**CRN:** 10417**SNS:** PTAC 1354-3A1**Instructor:** Sweeney, Robert**Course Title:** Industrial Processes-MW**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

NEEDS A GOOD RAISE

**CRN:** 10447**SNS:** PTAC 2438-6A1**Instructor:** Sweeney, Robert**Course Title:** Process Technology III-MW**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

MR SWEENEY HAS BEEN THE BEST TEACHER I HAVE EVER HAD YET AND I AM SO GRATEFUL TO BE LEARNING SO MUCH FROM HIM. I AM REALLY SAD THIS IS HIS LAST SEMESTER.

---

BEST INSTRUCTOR AT LIT!

**CRN:** 10449**SNS:** PTAC 2438-6C1**Instructor:** Sweeney, Robert**Course Title:** Process Technology III-TR**Dept:** Technology**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

SWEENEY IS EVERYTHING!!! I LEARNED A LOT & I HATE THAT HE IS LEAVING. LIT IS LOSING A GREAT ASSET TO THIS INSTITUTION.

GREAT PERSONALITY, A GREAT INSTRUCTOR, I'VE LEARNED A LOT. SAFETY #1.

PAY THIS MAN SO HE CAN STAY. HE IS A GREAT TEACHER.

BEST INSTRUCTOR IN WHOLE COURSE. TEACHES ABOVE AND BEYOND TO PREP US FOR PLANT WORK. AN ASSET TO LIT.

MR SWEENEY IS DEFINITELY ONE OF THE BEST INSTRUCTORS, WISH HE WOULD STAY ONE MORE SEMESTER :( .

HE SHOULD REALLY BE OFFERED ANYTHING NEEDED FOR HIM TO STAY. HE IS THE BEST INSTRUCTOR IN THIS PROGRAM. WE ARE GOING TO MISS HIM.

THE GUY KNOW HIS STUFF. WILL BE MISSED. :(

CRN: 10451

SNS: PTAC 2446-6A1

Instructor: Sweeney, Robert

Course Title: Process Troubleshooting-TR

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

**Comments or Suggestions:**

---

YOU ROCK MR SWEENEY!

---

MR SWEENEY TAUGHT ME THE MOST OUT OF ALL THE COURSES I TOOK COMBINED. GREAT TEACHER.

---

ONE OF THE BEST CLASSES I HAVE HAD AT MY TIME AT LIT. GREAT TEACHER AND ENCOURAGES ME TO ALWAYS DO BETTER.

---

MR SWEENEY IS AWESOME. I WISH I COULD HAVE TAKEN HIM FOR MORE CLASSES. THE PROCESS TECHNOLOGY DEPT IS GOING TO LOSE A GREAT INSTRUCTOR WHEN HE RETIRES. HE WILL BE HARD TO REPLACE.

---

HE'S A MAGNIFICENT BASTARD.

---

GREAT INSTRUCTOR & MENTOR, WILL BE TRULY MISSED.

---

AWESOME TEACHER!!

---

THIS IS THE BEST TEACHER, TRAINER, PROFESSOR AND MENTOR THERE IS TO OFFER.

---

## Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Sweeney, Robert

C-1 = Effective Communication  
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter  
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students  
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading  
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice  
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class  
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned  
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating  
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
TECH	PTAC 1354-3A1	Paper	11	7	4.83	4.80	4.96	4.95	94.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	PTAC 2438-6A1	Paper	18	15	4.93	4.97	4.82	4.89	100.0%	94.7%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%
TECH	PTAC 2438-6C1	Paper	18	15	4.77	4.79	4.82	4.78	96.0%	96.0%	97.4%	94.7%	98.6%
TECH	PTAC 2446-6A1	Paper	25	24	4.92	4.91	4.96	4.94	99.2%	98.4%	98.4%	98.4%	94.9%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.88	4.88	4.89	4.89	98.1%	97.0%	98.6%	98.1%	96.6%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------