

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Philosophy-TR

INSTRUCTOR: Mires, Nicholas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PHIL 1301-3A1

CRN: 10204

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 9

ENROLLMENT: 11

DELIVERY METHOD: Paper

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.60	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.60	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	8	0	1	0	0	4.78	4.40	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	8	0	1	0	0	4.78	4.33	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.47	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.53	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.53	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.53	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.47	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.47	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.27	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.20	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.29	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.47	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	7	1	1	0	0	4.67	4.20	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.33	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.47	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	8	0	1	0	0	4.78	4.40	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	7	1	1	0	0	4.67	4.27	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.27	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.53	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	7	2	0	0	0	4.78	4.33	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	8	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						96.6%	87%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Lamar Institute of Technology - Student Appraisal of Course & Instructor

COURSE TITLE: Introduction to Philosophy-

INSTRUCTOR: Mires, Nicholas

SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: PHIL 1301-2A1

CRN: 10466

DEPARTMENT: General Education and Developmental Studies

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES
COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6

ENROLLMENT: 25

DELIVERY METHOD: URL/Email

SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019

STATE- MENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES					AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...						
	5	4	3	2	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	2	3	1	0	0	4.17	4.60	4.45	4.48	4.30	4.62	4.44
2	2	3	1	0	0	4.17	4.60	4.49	4.51	4.36	4.63	4.47
3	1	3	2	0	0	3.83	4.40	4.30	4.34	4.10	4.56	4.35
4	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.33	4.12	4.15	3.94	4.49	4.27
5	1	3	2	0	0	3.83	4.47	4.44	4.47	4.29	4.61	4.43
6	1	4	1	0	0	4.00	4.53	4.39	4.42	4.24	4.59	4.39
7	1	4	1	0	0	4.00	4.53	4.44	4.45	4.34	4.62	4.45
8	2	2	2	0	0	4.00	4.53	4.64	4.68	4.40	4.74	4.49
9	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.47	4.56	4.60	4.31	4.68	4.41
10	2	1	3	0	0	3.83	4.47	4.60	4.65	4.35	4.71	4.47
11	0	3	3	0	0	3.50	4.27	4.45	4.49	4.25	4.60	4.37
12	1	2	1	2	0	3.33	4.20	4.47	4.51	4.26	4.65	4.41
13	1	1	2	1	0	3.40	4.29	4.49	4.53	4.29	4.64	4.43
14	2	2	2	0	0	4.00	4.47	4.52	4.55	4.37	4.65	4.48
15	1	1	4	0	0	3.50	4.20	4.46	4.51	4.23	4.63	4.39
16	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.33	4.51	4.55	4.32	4.65	4.45
17	1	3	2	0	0	3.83	4.47	4.48	4.51	4.33	4.63	4.47
18	1	3	2	0	0	3.83	4.40	4.54	4.59	4.24	4.69	4.40
19	1	3	1	1	0	3.67	4.27	4.43	4.46	4.29	4.63	4.41
20	1	1	4	0	0	3.50	4.27	4.34	4.39	4.08	4.56	4.27
21	2	2	2	0	0	4.00	4.53	4.46	4.50	4.24	4.62	4.40
22	1	2	3	0	0	3.67	4.33	4.42	4.47	4.20	4.61	4.35
23	1	3	1	1	0	3.67	4.40	4.46	4.50	4.26	4.62	4.46
Overall Column Average (in percentage %)						75.4%	87%	89%	89.8%	85.2%	92.6%	88%
24	0	5	1	0	0	3.83	3.83	4.10	0.00	4.10	0.00	4.25
25	0	5	1	0	0	3.83	3.83	4.17	0.00	4.17	0.00	4.30
26	1	3	1	1	0	3.67	3.67	4.25	0.00	4.25	0.00	4.34
27	0	4	2	0	0	3.67	3.67	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.44
28	2	2	1	1	0	3.83	3.83	4.21	0.00	4.21	0.00	4.38
29	2	2	1	1	0	3.83	3.83	4.35	0.00	4.35	0.00	4.45

THE COURSE

1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
3. Learned a lot in this course
4. Course is generally interesting
5. Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
9. Is well prepared for class
10. Conveys interest in subject
11. Presents ideas in an organized way
12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
13. Encourages initiative
14. Encourages participation
15. Is interested in students
16. Is available for help & advice

THE INSTRUCTOR

17. Challenges me to think
18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
19. Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
20. Explains difficult concepts fully
21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
22. Encourages me to ask questions
23. Overall rating of this instructor

Online Course Questions

24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE:

Statement 23

5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
2 = Needs Improvement,
1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

CRN: 10466**SNS:** PHIL 1301-2A1**Instructor:** Mires, Nicholas**Course Title:** Introduction to Philosophy-**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** URL/Email**Comments or Suggestions:**

It would be nice to know how Mr. Mires views our work as students. If I get an 85 on a discussion in a class most of my insturctors would explain why in a comment advising me on what I may have missed or if I did not understand the concept. Other than that Mr. Mires returned graded work promptly.

Compared to my Econ class, this course content was a little more difficult to navigate. My ECON class uses the Task functions that list out all assignments due and allows you to mark them complete and also uses the course content for information on assignments. All of the information was in blackboard. Where as this class I had to constantly go back to the syllabus for assignment info. It's not bad, I just like the other way better.

CRN: 10204**SNS:** PHIL 1301-3A1**Instructor:** Mires, Nicholas**Course Title:** Introduction to Philosophy-TR**Dept:** General Education and Developm**Delivery Method:** Paper**Comments or Suggestions:**

GREAT PROFESSOR, VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE.

GREAT PROF

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Mires, Nicholas

C-1 = Effective Communication
C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter
C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students
C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading
Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice
Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class
Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned
Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating
Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
GEDS	PHIL 1301-3A1	Paper	11	9	4.84	4.87	4.75	4.78	97.8%	95.7%	95.7%	93.4%	97.8%
GEDS	PHIL 1301-2A1	URL/Email	25	6	3.86	3.78	3.65	3.71	76.6%	73.4%	76.6%	73.4%	73.4%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.41	4.38	4.26	4.27	89.4%	86.6%	88.1%	85.4%	88.1%
------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------