COURSE TITLE: Structural Ste	el D	etailing-TR				INS	STRUCTOR:_	Campbell, Brent	
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	ARCE 1315-6A1		CRN:	10006	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog) Y	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	6	ENROLLMENT:	11		DELIVERY ME	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6 ENROLLMENT: 11						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	raper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ing 2019
							Α\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.73	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.73	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.86	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.86	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.86	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.86	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.84	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.86	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	6	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.62			4.62	4.46
		rall Column				100%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Technical Draf	ting-	-MWF				INS	STRUCTOR:_	Campbell, Brent	
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	DFTG 1305-6A1		CRN:	10008	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	3 Y	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	12	ENROLLMENT:	16		DELIVERY MET	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 12 ENROLLMENT: 16						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	raper .	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ing 2019
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STAT	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.73	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	10	1	1	0	0	4.75	4.73	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.86	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	12	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.89	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.89	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	10	2	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	11	1	0	0	0	4.92	4.86	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	12	0	0 "	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.62			4.62	4.46
		rall Column	1	1		97.6%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Architectural [Oraf	ting - Residential-M	۸W			INS	STRUCTOR:_	Campbell, Brent	
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECTI	ION:	DFTG 1317-6A1	_	CRN:	10011	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	ıy	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION:	6	ENROLLMENT:	14		DELIVERY ME	тнор: ^{Paper}		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 6 ENROLLMENT: 14						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	Paper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ing 2019
							. A\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT				SPONSES		THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
	5	4	3	2	1	_			<i>52.117.</i> 1.2.1	DEI 1-ONEINE		
1	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.73	4.61			4.62	4.44
2	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.73	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	5 -	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	5 -	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.89	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.89	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.84	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.82	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	5	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.86	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	4	1	0	0	0	4.80	4.95	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentage	· %)	96.6%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

COURSE TITLE: Intermediate Computer-Aided Drafting-MW INSTRUCTOR: Campbell, Brent										
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	DFTG 2319-6A1		CRN:	10015	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog	y		
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	10	ENROLLMENT:	10	_	DELIVERY ME	_{THOD:} Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019		

COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 10 ENROLLMENT: 10						DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	Paper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ring 2019
							A۱	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	FREQUEN 4	CY OF RE	SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1	5 	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.73	4.61			4.62	4,44
2	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.73	4.59			4.63	4.47
3	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.82	4.59			4.56	4.35
4	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	6	4	0	0	0	4.60	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	6	4	0	0	0	4.60	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	6	4	0	0	0	4.60	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.86	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.89	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.86	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.86	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.82	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.86	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.89	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.82	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.86	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	9	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.95	4.62			4.62	4.46
		rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	e %)	95%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

course title: Pipe Fabrication	on and	l Installation I-T	INS	INSTRUCTOR: Campbell, Brent					
SUBJECT, COURSE NUMBER, SECT	ION:	PFPB 2307-6A1		CRN:	10034	DEPARTMENT:	Technolog) Y	
NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES	10	ENROLLMENT:	15		DELIVERY ME	тнор: Paper		SEMESTER & YEAR: Spring 2019	

COMPLET	ED FOR TH	IS SECTION:	10	ENROLLME	NT: 15	DELIVE	RY METHOD: _	raper	_	SEMESTER & Y	EAR: Spr	ring 2019
							. A\	ERAGE SCORE	FOR THIS STA	TEMENT FOR		
STATE- MENT	5	1		SPONSES	1	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS INSTRUCTOR	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-PAPER	ALL COURSES THIS DEPT-ONLINE	COLLEGE- WIDE PAPER	COLLEGE- WIDE ONLINE
1		4	3	0	0	4.20	4.72	471				
2	6	3	1	0	0	4.30 4.50	4.73 4.73	4.61			4.62	4.44
3	8	1	0	1	0	4.60	4.73	4.59 4.59			4.63 4.56	4.47 4.35
4	8	1	0	1	0	4.60	4.82	4.54			4.49	4.27
5	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.75	4.57			4.61	4.43
6	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.80	4.58			4.59	4.39
7	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.77	4.59			4.62	4.45
8	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.84	4.71			4.74	4.49
9	7	3	0	0	0	4.70	4.82	4.64			4.68	4.41
10	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.86	4.64			4.71	4.47
11	7	2	1	0	0	4.60	4.80	4.54			4.60	4.37
12	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.89	4.62			4.65	4.41
13	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.59			4.64	4.43
14	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.86	4.60			4.65	4.48
15	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.86	4.57			4.63	4.39
16	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.61			4.65	4.45
17	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.59			4.63	4.47
18	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.86	4.65			4.69	4.40
19	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.89	4.63			4.63	4.41
20	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.84	4.53			4.56	4.27
21	8	2	0	0	0	4.80	4.82	4.60			4.62	4.40
22	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.86	4.56			4.61	4.35
23	9	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.95	4.62			4.62	4.46
	Ove	rall Column	Average (i	n percentag	je %)	94.8%	96.6%	92%	0%	0%	92.6%	88%
24	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
29	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

- 1. Course objectives clear & reasonable
- 2. Learning experiences consistent with objectives
- 3. Learned a lot in this course
- 4. Course is generally interesting
- Text / supporting materials consistent with objectives stated in the syllabus
- 6. Assignments are clear and appropriate
- 7. Method of eval/grade policy was clearly explained

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 8. Appears knowledgeable & competent ...
- 9. Is well prepared for class
- 10. Conveys interest in subject
- 11. Presents ideas in an organized way
- 12. Evaluates work thoughtfully & fairly
- 13. Encourages initiative
- 14. Encourages participation
- 15. Is interested in students
- 16. Is available for help & advice

Online Course Questions

- 24. Course introduction video helped my readiness.
- 25. Course orientation module helped my readiness.
- 26. Course design was easy to follow and navigate.
- 27. Instructor encouraged interaction with classmates through discussion boards and/or group projects.
- 28. Graded assignments/exams provide accurate assessment of my knowledge of course content.
- 29. The course tools allowed me to monitor my progress towards meeting the established outcomes.

THE INSTRUCTOR

- 17. Challenges me to think
- 18. Is reliable in meeting class or present in online course
- Returns graded tests & papers within a reasonable length of time
- 20. Explains difficult concepts fully
- 21. Tests/assessments were fair & a reflection of what we were responsible for
- 22. Encourages me to ask questions
- 23. Overall rating of this instructor

NOTE: 4.00 = 80%

RATING SCALE:

- 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree,
- 3 = Neutral, 2 = Disagree,
- 1 = Strongly Disagree

RATING SCALE: Statement 23

- 5 = Excellent, 4 = Good, 3 = Average,
- 2 = Needs Improvement,
- 1 = Needs Substantial Improvement

Spring 2019

Student Comments

10006 **SNS**: ARCE 1315-6A1 CRN:

Campbell, Brent Instructor:

Technology

Structural Steel Detailing-TR **Course Title:**

Dept: Paper **Delivery Method:**

Comments or Suggestions:

MR CAMPBELL MAKES CLASS FUN!

MR CAMPBELL IS A GREAT PROFESSOR!

MR CAMPBELL IS ONE OF THE BEST INSTRUCTORS I HAVE EVER HAD. HE EXPLAINS THINGS IN VERY GOOD DETAIL. AND ALWAYS WANTS TO TEACH NEW THINGS.

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10008

SNS: DFTG 1305-6A1

Instructor: Campbell, Brent

Course Title: Technical Drafting-MWF

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

I LOVE YOU!

COOL GUY

MR CAMPBELL HAS A GREAT PERSONALITY AND EXTREMELY KNOWLEDGEABLE AND CONVEYS INSTRUCTION WITH

CLARITY.

WORKS WELL WITH STUDENTS IF THEY MISS CLASS!

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10011

SNS: DFTG 1317-6A1

Instructor: Campbell, Brent

Course Title: Architectural Drafting - Residential-MW

Dept: Technology

Delivery Method: Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

I LOVE YOU!

GREAT INSTRUCTOR!

Spring 2019

Student Comments

CRN: 10015 **SNS**: DFTG 2319-6A1

Campbell, Brent Instructor:

Intermediate Computer-Aided Drafting-MW **Course Title:**

Technology

Dept: **Delivery Method:** Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

LOVE MR CAMPBELL!

LOVE CAMPBELL

MR CAMPBELL IS AWESOME!

Spring 2019

Student Comments

10034 CRN:

SNS: PFPB 2307-6A1

Campbell, Brent Instructor:

Pipe Fabrication and Installation I-TR **Course Title:**

Technology

Dept: **Delivery Method:** Paper

Comments or Suggestions:

LOVED MR CAMPBELL AND THE WAT HE EXPLAINS EVERYTHING

GREAT COURSE

DRAWING OF PENGUIN IN MASK WITH A SWORD

GREAT CLASS

CE-Vue®

Construct Averages

All Course Sections for Instructor this Semester

Instructor = Campbell, Brent

C-1 = Effective Communication

C-2 = Organization of Subject Matter

C-4 = Positive Attitude Toward Students

C-5 = Fairness in Exams and Grading Q5 = Text & Materials Consistent

Q16 = Available for Help and Advice

Q18 = Reliable in Meeting Class

Q19 = Tests & Papers Returned Q23 = Overall Instructor Rating

Note: 4.00 = 80%

Department	Course Number	Method	Out	Back	C-1	C-2	C-4	C-5	Q-5	Q-16	Q-18	Q-19	Q-23
тесн	ARCE 1315-6A1	Paper	11	6	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TECH	DFTG 1305-6A1	Paper	16	12	4.85	4.82	4.85	4.92	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%	98.4%	100.0%
TECH	DFTG 1317-6A1	Paper	14	6	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%	96.6%	96.0%
TECH	DFTG 2319-6A1	Paper	10	10	4.68	4.74	4.78	4.77	92.0%	94.0%	96.0%	96.0%	100.0%
TECH	PFPB 2307-6A1	Paper	15	10	4.68	4.66	4.88	4.83	92.0%	96.0%	98.1%	98.1%	98.1%

Average All Course Sections for This Instructor

4.79

4.79

4.86

4.86

Less than 3.0

Less than 60%

95.0%

96.4%

97.2%

99.0%

97.8%